

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fast ensemble empirical mode decomposition model for forecasting crude oil and condensates

Nuramirah Akrom^{*} and Zuhaimy Ismail

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

* Corresponding author: zuhaimyutm@gmail.my

Article history Received 20 Jan 2017 Accepted 31 July 2017





Abstract

Crude oil and condensates supply and demand strives to be main authority of the sustenance of almost all country's economy. The sudden rise in the oil price has forced the government to forecast the supply and demand of crude oil and condensates in order to make sure that the amount of crude oil meets the supply and demand of the country. Accurate forecasts can save cost, foresee scarcity of demand, and help in budgeting profit. In addition, predicting crude oil and condensate data is frequently proven to be a demanding task considering the various intricacies of oil data pattern. The main objective of this study was to forecast crude oil and condensates demand data in Malaysia using Fast Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (FEEMD) model. The forecasting process using FEEMD model was performed in order to achieve the most desirable forecast accuracy of the crude oil and condensates data. The FEEMD model is an extension of the Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) model whereby white noise signal was added to the existing signal in the sifting process. The effectiveness of the proposed forecasting method was compared to other traditional models of ARIMA, ARIMAX and GARCH. The results revealed that the proposed FEEMD method for forecasting crude oil and condensates data was very promising as it achieved good forecast accuracy.

Keywords: Crude oil and condensates, fast ensemble empirical mode decomposition (FEEMD), Tapis-blend oil prices, forecasting

© 2017 Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Currently, with the instability of world's economy, the needs and consumption of crude oil and its supply and demand are progressively becoming high, thus making it important to examine as a whole whether the amount of crude oil supply and demand is enough to establish a continuous, stable economic growth.

In assessing the quantitative analysis of crude oil supply and demand, some complications may emerge in the crude oil demand forecasting. The main objective of this study was to forecast crude oil and condensates demand in Malaysia. All relevant historical data for crude oil and condensates were collected to predict crude oil and condensates demand. The prediction of crude oil depends on the crude oil price where it can adequately guarantee a sudden new supply and demand of crude oil with an increase in the production quality at a lower production cost (Yu et al., 2016).

Crude oil can be defined as a mixture of hydrocarbons that lies in liquid state in natural underground reservoirs and remains liquid at atmospheric pressure after passing through surface separating facilities. A condensate is a very light hydrocarbon with an American Petroleum Institute (API)-specific gravity of greater than 50 degrees and less than 80 degrees (Mohammadpoor and Torabi, 2015). Underground, condensates can either exist separately from the crude oil or dissolve in the crude oil. In this paper, we examined the summation of crude oil and condensates data in achieving the forecast.

In the literature, forecasting methods for oil demand and supply and oil price can be categorized into two, which are the traditional statistical method (Chakra et al., 2013; Sheremetov et al., 2013; Chuanping et al., 2010) and a hybridization of the "decomposition and ensemble" method (Li et al., 2012). Traditional statistical models such as ARIMA, Grey Model, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) have been extensively implemented in predicting crude oil production and demand. For example, Chakra et al. (2013) employed the higher-order neural network (HONN) method to forecast the production of oil on a sandstone reservoir located in Cambay basin in Gujarat, India. Sheremetov et al. (2013) applied the Time Delay Neural Network (TDNN) and Nonlinear Autoregressive with exogenous input (NARX) methods in predicting monthly Mexican oil and gas production. Aizenberg et al. (2016) also suggested Multilayer Neural Network with Multi-Valued Neurons (MLMVN) model to forecast oil production in the Gulf of Mexico. Zang et al. (2010) investigated refined oil demand in China using combined mid-long term forecast in the Grey model. Chuanping et al. (2011) also implemented ARMA method in forecasting China's oil selfsufficiency. Seyedan et al. (2015) applied Support Vector Machine method in predicting Iran's oil consumption.

As for the decomposition and ensemble method, most previous literature implemented the hybridization of decomposition and ensemble methods for forecasting oil prices data. For example, Yu et al. (2016) proposed the hybridization of Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) and Extended Extreme Learning Machine (EELM) to forecast crude oil spot price data in West Texas Intermediate (WTI). Yu et al. (2008) also suggested forecasting WTI crude oil spot prices and Brent crude oil spot prices using EMD and neural network ensemble learning paradigm. He et al. (2012) analyzed WTI and Brent crude oil prices using a modified hybridization of the wavelet

decomposed ensemble model. Yu et al. (2015) also used a modified hybridization of EEMD with data characteristic driven reconstruction technique for WTI and Brent oil prices data.

In general, the above models can generate satisfying forecast results if the forecaster follows the whole forecasting process introduced by the inventor of the model. Basically, the forecasting process in both traditional statistical models and hybrid models of decomposition and ensemble method involves many trial-and-error steps, which can be time-consuming. In addition, abundant studies have proved that the forecasting capability might be low if the forecaster does not follow any of the steps suggested by the inventor of the model. Thus, continuous application of traditional statistical models or hybridization of decomposition and ensemble methods in the forecasting procedure might be impractical.

In order to overcome these traditional statistical and hybridization of decomposition and ensemble procedure of a model, especially through trial-and-error process with too many steps to follow in a forecasting method, we are suggesting the Fast Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (FEEMD) model in predicting the time series data. The steps involving in FEEMD model for time series forecasting procedure is very minimal, easy to implement, and most importantly, give very good forecast accuracy.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH and FEEMD methods for forecasting in this study. Empirical results after employing the above methods to real data sets of crude oil and condensates are presented in Section 3 where the forecast performances of these methods are compared among each other. Section 4 contains the concluding remarks.

METHODOLOGY

In our work, we used traditional statistical methods such as ARIMA, ARIMAX, and GARCH to compare their forecast performances against the FEEMD method on the crude oil and condensates data. Tapis-blend oil prices data was chosen as an exogenous variable for the ARIMAX model to determine the robustness of the proposed FEEMD method in forecasting and to assess the quality of the proposed forecast methods. The forecast performance was measured using the Mean Average Percentage Error (MAPE) method.

ARIMA model

The general ARIMA (p, d, q) model consisted of a combination of past values and past errors, or it can be expressed as:

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d Y_t = \theta_q(B)a_t \tag{1}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \phi_p(B) &= (1 - \phi_1 B - \dots - \phi_p B^p), \\ \theta_a(B) &= (1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_a B^q) \end{split}$$

where *B* denotes the backshift operator and a_t denotes a random process in a period *t* with zero mean and constant variance. $\phi_p(B)$ and $\theta_q(B)$ are regular autoregressive and moving average polynomials of orders *p* and *q*. Y_t is appropriately transformed data series in period *t*, while $(1 - B)^d$ is the non-seasonal operators. If *d* is non-zero, then there is a simple differencing step to remove trend (Box et al., 2008).

ARIMAX model

The ARIMAX model is an extension of the ARIMA model, where ARIMAX includes exogenous variables (Pankratz, 1991). The general ARIMAX model can be expressed as:

$$y_t = \frac{\beta}{\phi(B)} x_t + \frac{\theta(B)}{\phi(B)} Z_t \tag{2}$$

where x_t is a covariate at time t and β is its coefficient. $\phi_p(B) = (1 - \phi_1 B - \dots - \phi_p B^p)$ and $\theta_q(B) = (1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q)$.

GARCH model

The GARCH model can be expressed as follows:

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t-1}}\right) = \mu + \varepsilon_t \tag{3}$$

$$v_t = \omega + \beta v_{t-1} + u_{t-1} \tag{4}$$

where C_t is the time-*t* of the "crude oil", $v_t = \sigma_t^2$ is the variance, and $\varepsilon_t = \sigma_t z_t$ is the innovation for date *t*. Here, z_t is either a standard normal variable or the empirical random variable with a mean of zero and a variance of one. For GARCH(1,1), $u_t = \alpha \varepsilon_t^2$ (Bollerslev, 1986).

Fast ensemble empirical mode decomposition (FEEMD) model

Empirical mode decomposition (EMD)

In the theory of EMD, any series x(t) can be decomposed using the following equation (Huang et al, 1998):

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} IMF_{i}(t) + R_{n}(t)$$
(5)

where $\{IMF_i(t)\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are the Intrinsic Mode Function (IMF) and $\{R_n(t)\}$ is the residue. Basically, the computational steps of EMD are as follows:

Step 1: Identify all the local extremes of the raw series $\{x(t)\}$. Step 2: Connect all the local maxima and the local minima by two cubic splines to generate the corresponding upper envelop series $\{x_u(t)\}$ and down envelop series $\{x_d(t)\}$, respectively. Step 3: Calculate the mean envelop series $\{x_{mean}(t)\}$ as:

$$x_{mean}(t) = \frac{x_u(t) + x_d(t)}{2} \tag{6}$$

Step 4: Execute the extracting computation as:

$$Z(t) = x(t) - x_{mean}(t)$$
⁽⁷⁾

Step 5: Examine whether the extracted series $\{Z(t)\}$ is an IMF component; if yes, set IMF(t) = Z(t) and replace the series $\{x(t)\}$ with the residual r(t) = x(t) - IMF(t); if no, replace the series $\{x(t)\}$ with the extracted series $\{Z(t)\}$ and repeat Step 2 to Step 4 until the following terminating threshold is reached:

$$\varsigma_{terminate} = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{\left[Z_{j-1}(t) - Z_{j}(t)\right]^{2}}{\left[Z_{j-1}(t)\right]^{2}} \le \delta$$
(8)

where *m* is the length of the data in the original series $\{x(t)\}$, δ is the terminating threshold, and *j* is the number of the iterative computation. Basically, the terminating threshold value falls into the range of [0.2, 0.3].

Step 6: The procedure in Step 1 to Step 5 are repeated until all the IMFs have been obtained.

Ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD)

The EEMD algorithm added different realizations of white noise series to the original series x_t , then adopted the standard EMD procedures to obtain the IMFs (Wu and Huang, 2009). The computational steps of the EEMD are given as follows:

Step 1: Calculate $x^k(t) = x(t) + \omega^k(t)$, where $\{\omega^k(t)\}, (k = 1,2,3,\dots,N)$ are the different realizations of white Gaussian noise series, and N is the number of times to add the noise series inside the original series x(t).

Step 2: Decompose the series $\{x^k(t)\}, (k = 1,2,3,\dots,N)$ by executing the standard EMD to obtain their corresponding IMF modes $\{IMF_m^k(t)\}, (m = 1,2,3,\dots,M)$, where *M* is the number of decomposed IMF modes in the *k* round.

Step 3: Compute the average of the corresponding series $\{IMF_m^k(t)\}$ as:

$$\overline{IMF}_m(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N IMF_m^k(t)$$
(9)

Step 4: Repeat the above averaging procedure to complete the whole EEMD decomposition. The original signal series x(t) will be formatted as below:

$$x(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{p} \overline{IMF_m}(t) + r_p(t)$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

where $\{\overline{IMF}_m(t)\}, (m = 1, 2, \dots, p)$ are the EEMD decomposed IMFs, $\{r_m(t)\}$ is the corresponding residue, and p is the number of the final EEMD-based IMFs.

This paper proposed forecasting via the FEEMD method (Liu et al, 2015). The steps to achieve the FEEMD method are as follows:

Step 1: Follow the steps in building the EEMD algorithm. Set the final number of IMF, which is p = 1; hence during EEMD sifting process, it will give 2 IMFs, which are the first IMF and a residue (IMF2).

Step 2: Compare the MAPE of the residue (IMF2) with the actual data.

Step 3: Forecast the residue (IMF2).

Mean average percentage error (MAPE)

To investigate the performance of the proposed model, MAPE was used as the error performance criterion. The equation for calculating MAPE is as follows:

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left| \frac{A_t - \hat{F}_t}{A_t} \right| X100$$
(11)

where A_t is the actual data, \hat{F}_t is the forecasted data, and N is the number of samples in the data series.

CRUDE OIL AND CONDENSATES DATA SERIES

Fig. 1 shows the monthly time series plot for crude oil and condensates and the Tapis-blend oil prices from January 2002 to February 2015. The time series plot for crude oil and condensates showed numerous changing turning points, volatility, and fluctuations in the series. It also showed spikes (red oval) during March 2006 and March 2011. The crude oil and condensates demand showed a decreasing pattern after several months and continued decreasing throughout the years. As for the Tapis oil price, it was stable in the beginning and suddenly decreased after a promising increment in July 2008. However, the prices recovered from the decrement after a few months and gradually became stable at the beginning of the year.

FORECASTING RESULTS

For the forecasting process, we implemented ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH, and FEEMD procedures in modelling and forecasting crude oil and condensates data series.

ARIMA, ARIMAX, and GARCH modelling

For ARIMA and ARIMAX models, we differenced the crude oil and condensates and Tapis-blend oil prices (d = 1) to make the data stationary and then the ARIMA model was built using Minitab software and ARIMAX model using SAS software. Fig. 2 shows the ACF and PACF for crude oil and condensates and Tapis-blend oil prices after the differencing process while Fig. 3 shows the stationary series

The model that gave the best MAPE for the crude oil and condensates data series was ARIMA (1,1,0) while the best model chosen for covariates exogenous variable was ARIMAX (1,2,1). To compute the GARCH model, we used Microsoft Excel 2010 and following GARCH methodology, the values for α , β , and γ were

FEEMD modelling

After we implemented FEEMD algorithm using Matlab, with 0.3 as the standard deviation for the stopping criterion, Fig. 4 depicts the first and second IMFs for crude oil and condensates data series

The second IMF was compared with the actual data to forecast the crude oil and condensates. For the first IMF, it showed a very high frequency while the second IMF exhibited a smooth trend of the crude oil and condensates data. Various researchers found that the frequency of the first IMF may not be quite useful because its high peak may give low forecast accuracy (Liu et al, 2015). Therefore, in this study, we eliminated the first IMF and only used the second IMF in the forecasting process using FEEMD. We also chose only one iterating process for IMF in order to achieve the simplest forecasting steps and save time for the forecasting process, while still giving highly precise forecasts.

Forecast results

Table 1 shows the MAPE for crude oil and condensates data series using ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH, and FEEMD models. The data was divided into two, which are in-sample forecast (152 data points) and out-sample forecast (18 data points).

 Table 1
 In-sample and out-sample forecasts for crude oil and condensates data series using ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH, and FEEMD models.

Methods	MAPE (%)	
	In-sample Forecast	Out-sample Forecast
ARIMA	2.8298	4.5030
ARIMAX	3.0289	4.1600
GARCH	3.0361	4.0888
FEEMD	1.4987	1.8922

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 demonstrate the time series plot for in-sample and out-sample forecasts of the crude oil and condensates data using ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH, and FEEMD models.

From Table 1, Fig. 5, and Fig. 6, FEEMD gave the highest MAPE compared to the other methods. The least precise in-sample forecast of the crude oil and condensates data series was by the GARCH model, followed by ARIMAX model, then ARIMA model. For the out-sample forecast, the least precise model was the ARIMA model, followed by ARIMAX model and then GARCH model. For the in-sample forecast, GARCH model gave the lowest MAPE because the spikes and volatility of the in-sample crude oil and condensates data series were deviated the largest from the actual data, thus affecting the GARCH model's performance. In comparing between the ARIMA and ARIMAX models for in-sample forecast, ARIMA gave better MAPE than the ARIMAX model. This is because the ARIMAX forecasting model was based on the exogenous variable that can fill in the spikes in the series. Based on the Tapis-blend oil prices data series, it showed a slightly random data pattern with not too many spikes in the Tapisblend oil prices data series, hence giving low forecast accuracy.

For the FEEMD model, it gave the highest MAPE because the second IMF smoothed the crude oil and condensates data series. However, for out-sample forecast of crude oil condensates using FEEMD (see Fig. 6), the first eleven observations did follow the actual data series but the 12th to 18th observations of the second IMF just became smooth and did not follow the spikes of the data.



Fig. 1 Time series plot for crude oil and condensates ("000bpd) and Tapis-blend oil prices (USD/b) from January 2002 to February 2015.



Fig. 2 ACF and PACF for crude oil and condensates and Tapis-blend oil prices after d = 1.

OPEN ORCESS Freely available online



Fig. 3 Stationary series of crude oil and condensates and Tapis-blend oil prices after d = 1



Fig. 4 First and second IMFs for crude oil and condensates data series.



Fig. 5 Crude oil and condensates in-sample forecast with ARIMA, ARIMAX, GARCH, and FEEMD models.



Fig. 6 Crude oil and condensates out-sample forecast with GARCH, and FEEMD models.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a novel forecasting method of crude oil and condensates based on the FEEMD method. The proposed decomposed and ensemble FEEMD method was compared with traditional statistical methods of ARIMA, ARIMAX and GARCH. Through FEEMD, crude oil and condensates data series were decomposed into

two IMFs: the first IMF was the high-frequency crude oil and condensates data and the second IMF was the smooth trend of the crude oil and condensates data series. The second IMF of the crude oil and condensates data series was compared with the actual data. The MAPE of the proposed FEEMD model gave more precise forecast accuracy as compared to the other methods while reducing the trial-and-error process in forecasting that is common in other traditional statistical models, thus saving a lot of time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was financially supported MyBrain15 scholarship and UTM Tier 1 Grant (11H89).

REFERENCES

- Aizenberg, I., Sheremetov, L., Villa-Vargas, L., Martinez-Muñoz, J. 2016. Multilayer neural network with multi-valued neurons in time series forecasting of oil production. *Neurocomputing*. 175(b), 980-989.
- Bollerslev, T. 1986. Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics.* 31, 307–327.
- Box, G. E. P., G. M. Jenkins, G. M., Reinsel, G. C. 2008. *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control, 4th ed.* New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Chakra, N. C., Song, K-Y., Gupta, M. M., Saraf, D. N. 2013. An innovative neural forecast of cumulative oil production from a petroleum reservoir employing higher-order neural networks (HONNs). *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 106, 18-33.
- Chuanping, Z., Ruirui, Z., Xueping, Y., Lin, Y. 2011. Analysis of china's oil self- sufficiency. 2011 International Conference on Management and Service Science. Wuhan, China. 12-14 August 2011. 1-5.
- He, K., Yu, L., Lai, K. K. 2012. Crude oil price analysis and forecasting using wavelet decomposed ensemble model. *Energy*. 46(1), 564-574.

- Huang, N. E., Shen, Z., Long, S. R., Long, S. R., Wu, M. C., Shih, H. H., Zheng, Q., Yen, N-C., Tung, C. C., Liu, H. H. 1998. The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society. A Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences.* 454 (1971), 903-995.
- Li, J. P., Tanga, L., Sun, X. L., Yu, L. A., Hee, W., Yang, Y. Y. 2012. Country risk forecasting for major oil exporting countries: A decomposition hybrid approach. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*. 63(3), 641-651.
- Liu, H., Tian, H., Liang, X., Y. Li, 2015. New wind speed forecasting approaches using fast ensemble empirical mode decomposition, genetic algorithm, mind evolutionary algorithm and artificial neural networks. *Renewable Energy*. 83, 1066-1075.
- Mohammadpoor, M., Torabi, F. 2015. Extensive experimental investigation of the effect of drainage height and solvent type on the stabilized drainage rate in vapour extraction (VAPEX) process. *Petroleum.* 1, 187-199.
- Pankratz, A. 1991. Forecasting with Dynamic Regression Models. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Seyedan, S. M., Adli, N. N., Ebadati, O. M. 2015. Performance analysis and forecasting on crude oil: novel support vector regression application to market demand. 2015 International Conference on Soft Computing Techniques and Implementations- (ICSCTI). 8-10 October. Faridabad, India: Advanced Computing, IEEE, 126-130.
- Sheremetov, L. B., González-Sánchez, A., López-Yáñez, I., Ponomarev, A. V. 2013. Time series forecasting: applications to the upstream oil and gas supply chain. 7th IFAC Conference on Manufacturing Modelling, Management, and Control. International Federation of Automatic Control. 19-21 June. Saint Petersburg, Russia, 957-962.
- Wu, Z. Huang, N. E. 2009. Ensemble empirical mode decomposition: a noiseassisted data analysis method. *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis*. 1(1), 1-41.
- Yu, L., Dai, W., and Tang, Y. 2016. A Novel decomposition ensemble model with extended extreme learning machine for crude oil price forecasting. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. 47, 110-121.
- Yu, L., Wang, Z., Tang, L. 2015. A decomposition–ensemble model with datacharacteristic-driven reconstruction for crude oil price forecasting. *Applied Energy*. 156, 251-267.
- Yua, L., Wanga, S., Laib, K. K. 2008. Forecasting crude oil price with an emdbased neural network ensemble learning paradigm. *Energy Economics*. 30(5), 2623-2635.
- Zhang, Q., Chen, N., Zhao, J. 2010. Combined forecast model of refined oil demand based on grey theory. 2010 International Symposium on Intelligence Information Processing and Trusted Computing. IEEE Computer Society, 145-148.