

Ideal convergence in n -normal spaces and some new sequence spaces via n -norm

Mehmet Gürdal* and Ahmet Şahiner

Department of Mathematics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260, Isparta, Turkey.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail address: gurdal@fef.sdu.edu.tr

Received 18 September 2007

<http://dx.doi.org/10.11113/mjfas.v4n1.32>

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduced some new sequence spaces using n -normed spaces and gave some preliminary result for matrix transformations between some sequence spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40A05, 40A45; Secondary 46A70.

| Natural density | Statistical convergence | Statistical cauchy sequence | \mathcal{I} -convergence | 2-normed spaces | n -normed spaces |

1. Introduction

P. Kostyrko et al [11] introduced the concept of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of such convergence. Note that \mathcal{I} -convergence is an interesting generalization of statistical convergence.

The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus [19] in 1951 (see also Fast [1]) and had been discussed and developed by many authors including [2], [3], [15].

Let \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X is called to be statistically convergent to $x \in X$ if the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let us now give some definitions and notations.

A family $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^Y$ of subsets a nonempty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$; (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$; (iii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$, $B \subset A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{I}$, while an admissible ideal \mathcal{I} of Y further satisfies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $x \in Y$ [10], [13].

Let $Y \neq \emptyset$. A non-empty family $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^Y$ is said to be a filter on Y if (i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;

(ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ imply $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$; (iii) $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \subset B \subset Y$ imply $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Let \mathcal{I} be a proper ideal in Y (i.e. $Y \notin \mathcal{I}$), $Y \neq \emptyset$. Then the family of sets $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset Y : \exists A \in \mathcal{I} : M = Y \setminus A\}$ is a filter in Y . It is called the filter associated with the ideal \mathcal{I} [12].

Given $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in X$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} [11, 12]. There are many examples to ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ in [11, 12] and basic properties of \mathcal{I} -convergence have been studied in these works.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. The sequence $x = (x_n)$ of elements of X is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergence to $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} . If $x = (x_n)$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x then we write $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. In this case the element $x \in X$ is called \mathcal{I} -limit of the sequence $x = (x_n) \in X$ [11, 12].

There are many examples to ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ in [11, 12] and basic properties of \mathcal{I} -convergence have been studied in these works.

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially introduced by Gähler [4] in the 1960's. Since then, many researchers have studied this concept and obtained various results, see for instance [5, 7, 17, 18].

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $n \leq d$. An n -norm on X is a function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : \underbrace{X \times X \times \dots \times X}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following four

conditions:

- (i) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent;
- (ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ are invariant under permutation;
- (iii) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iv) $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is then called a n -normed space [6].

DEFINITION 1. [8] A sequence (x_k) in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be convergent to an x in X (in the n -norm) if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_k - x\| = 0$$

for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$.

DEFINITION 2. [5] A sequence (x_k) in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be Cauchy in X (with respect to the n -norm) if

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_k - x_l\| = 0$$

for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$.

If every Cauchy sequence converges to an x in X then X is said to be complete (with respect to n – norm). Any complete n -normed space is said to be n -Banach space.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is an n -normed space of dimension $d \geq n$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a linearly independent set in X . Then the function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1} defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty := \max \{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

defines an $(n - 1)$ norm on X with respect to $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ [5].

DEFINITION 3. [16] Let X be a linear space. Then a map $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a paranorm (on X) if it satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y \in X$:

- (i) $g(\theta) = 0$ (Here $\theta = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ is zero of the space)
- (ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$
- (iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$
- (iv) $g(\lambda^n - \lambda) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $g(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) imply $g(\lambda^n x^n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Recall that $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a n -Banach space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to some x in X in the n -norm.

The following lemma will help us throughout our study.

LEMMA 1. [8] $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a n -Banach space if and only if $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Now, we introduce the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence in n -normed spaces and give the main results of the paper.

2. Ideal Convergence of n -Normed Spaces

Suppose hereafter that $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is n -normed space. Recall that we assume X to have dimension d , where $2 \leq n \leq d < \infty$, unless otherwise stated.

DEFINITION 4. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence (x_k) of X is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to x , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} .

If (x_n) is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x then we write $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$ or $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$. The number x is \mathcal{I} -limit of the sequence (x_k) .

Further we will give some examples of ideals and corresponding \mathcal{I} -convergences.

(I) Let \mathcal{I}_f be the family of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Then \mathcal{I}_f is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_f convergence coincides with usual convergence in [4].

(II) Put $\mathcal{I}_\delta = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\}$. Then \mathcal{I}_δ is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_δ convergence coincides with the statistical convergence in [9].

REMARK 1. Note that if \mathcal{I} is admissible ideal, then the convergence in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ implies \mathcal{I} -convergence in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

We shall now investigate which axioms of convergence in X are satisfied by \mathcal{I} -convergence in X .

(S) Every constant sequence (x, x, \dots, x, \dots) converges to x in n -normed space X .

(H) The limit of any convergent sequence in n -normed space X is uniquely determined.

(F) If a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X has the limit x in X , then each of its subsequences has the same limit.

(U) If each subsequence of the sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X has a subsequence which converges to x in X , then (x_k) converges to x in X .

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that n -normed space X has at least two points. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^X$ be an admissible ideal.

(i) The \mathcal{I} -convergence in X satisfies (S), (H) and (U).

(ii) If \mathcal{I} contains an infinite set, then \mathcal{I} -convergence in X does not satisfy (F).

PROOF. (i) (S) is obviously fulfilled. To prove (H) it is sufficient to observe that for any $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $(\mathbb{N} \setminus A_1) \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus A_2) \neq \emptyset$ since the last two sets belong to the filter associated with \mathcal{I} . If there are two limits $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, choose ε such that

$$0 < 2\varepsilon < \|x_1 - x_2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$$

where $x_1 - x_2$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} are linearly independent. And put

$$A_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\},$$

$$A_2 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x_2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Suppose now that (U) does not hold. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$A(\varepsilon_0) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon_0\} \notin \mathcal{I}$$

for each x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X . But then $A(\varepsilon_0)$ is an infinite set since \mathcal{I} is admissible. Let $A(\varepsilon_0) = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_i < \dots\}$. Put $y_i = x_{n_i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of (x_k) without a subsequence \mathcal{I} -convergence to x in n -normed space X .

(ii) Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{I}$ is an infinite set, $A = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i < \dots\}$. $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_i < \dots\}$. The set B is also infinite since \mathcal{I} is non-trivial ideal. Define (x_k) by choosing $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ and put $x_{k_i} = x_1$, $x_{m_i} = x_2$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_i}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|z_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$ and $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{m_i}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|z_2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$. \square

Now Let $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq n$) be equipped with the n -norm then

$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\|_S :=$ the volume of the n -dimensional parallelepiped spanned by the vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n$ which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\|_S = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \end{array} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_\delta$. Define the (x_n) in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} (0, \dots, k) & , k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ (0, \dots, 0) & , otherwise. \end{cases}$$

and let $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ and \cdot . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \{1, 4, 9, 16, \dots, k^2, \dots\}.$$

We have that $\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in X$. This implies that $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$. But, the sequence (x_k) is not convergent to x .

We next provide a proof of the fact that \mathcal{I} -limit operation for sequence in n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is linear with respect to summation and scalar multiplication.

THEOREM 1. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal. For each x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X ,

- (i) If $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$ and $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k - y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$ then $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(x_k + y_k) - (x + y), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a(x_k - x), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$;

PROOF. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then set $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ as follows:

$$A_1 = A_1(\varepsilon) := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$A_2 = A_2(\varepsilon) := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \|y_k - y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

for each x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X . Let

$$A = A(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|(x_k + y_k) - (x + y), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then the inclusion $A \subset A_1 \cup A_2$ holds and the statement follows.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$. Then

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|a|} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then by definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|ax_k - ax, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |a| \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|a|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the right hand side of above equality belongs to \mathcal{I} . Hence,

$$\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a(x_k - x), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0,$$

for every x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X . □

Recall that we assume X to have dimension d , where $n \leq d < \infty$, unless otherwise stated. Let $a = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ to be a linearly independent set in X . With respect to $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, if we define the following function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1}

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty := \max \{\|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

then the function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ defines an $(n-1)$ norm on X [8].

LEMMA 2. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal. A sequence (x_k) in X is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x in X the n -norm if and only if $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, a_i\| = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

PROOF. \mathcal{I} -convergent to x in X the n -norm then $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$ for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Every $z \in X$ can be written as $z = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, z\| &\leq |\alpha_1| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, u_1\| + \dots \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ |\alpha_n| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, u_n\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, u_i\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. From the above inequality we have

$$\{k : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, z\| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{k : |\alpha_1| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, u_1\| \geq \varepsilon\} \cup \dots \cup \{n : |\alpha_n| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x, u_n\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Since the right hand side of the above inclusion belongs to ideal, so does the left hand side. □

From Lemma 2.3 and norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$, we have:

LEMMA 3. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal. A sequence (x_n) in X is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x in X in the n -norm if and only if $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x\|_\infty = 0$.

Using open balls $B_u(x, \varepsilon)$, we have the following.

LEMMA 4. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal. A sequence (x_k) in X is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x in X in the n -norm if and only if $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k \notin B_u(x, \varepsilon)\}$ belongs to ideal.

Now we introduce the concepts \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequences in n -normed spaces X .

DEFINITION 5. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence (x_n) of X is said to be \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequence in X , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in X$ there exists a number $N = N(\varepsilon, z)$ such that

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x_{N(\varepsilon, z)}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

where $z = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$.

Further we will give some examples of ideals and corresponding \mathcal{I} -convergences.

(I) Let \mathcal{I}_f be the family of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Then \mathcal{I}_f is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_f Cauchy sequence coincides with usual Cauchy sequence in [18].

(II) Put $\mathcal{I}_\delta = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\}$. Then \mathcal{I}_δ is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_δ Cauchy sequence coincides with the statistical Cauchy sequence in [9].

Now we give a similar result as in [8] (see Lemma 1.2).

LEMMA 5. In any n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, any \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequences is \mathcal{I} -convergent if and only if any \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent.

PROOF. From Lemma 2.2, \mathcal{I} -convergence in the n -norm is equivalent to that in the $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ norm. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_k - x\| &= 0, \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1} \in X \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_k - x\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It sufficient to show that (x_k) is \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequence with respect to the n -norm iff it is \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$. But it can be done easily very similar to that in Lemma 1.2 with only mild changes. \square

Let X be real inner product space of dimension $d \geq n$ then

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_S = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \end{array} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on X . On the other hand, Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal set in X then

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_\infty := \max \{\|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, e_i\| : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

defines an $(n-1)$ norm on X .

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be any n -normed spaces and $S(n-X)$ denotes X -valued sequences spaces. Clearly $S(n-X)$ is a linear space under addition and scalar multiplication.

DEFINITION 6. We define the new sequences space as follows:

$$l(n-p) = \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

for each x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X .

LEMMA 6. $l(n-p)$ sequences space is a linear space.

PROOF. Let $p_k > 0$, $(\forall k)$, $H = \sup p_k$ and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$ (complex numbers). Then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq C \left\{ |a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k} \right\}, \quad C = \max \{1, 2^{H-1}\},$$

[16]. Hence, if $|\lambda| \leq L$ and $|\mu| \leq M$; L, M integers, $x, y \in l(n-p)$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + \mu y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} &\leq (|\lambda| \|x_k, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + |\mu| \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} \\ &\leq (|\lambda| \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + |\mu| \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} \\ &\leq (L \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + M \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} \\ &\leq C \{ (L \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} + (M \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} \} \\ &\leq CL^H (\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} + CM^H (\|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking sum over k desired result is obtained. □

DEFINITION 7. Let $t_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (\|x_i, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_i}$ and \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal. Then we define the new sequences space as follows:

$$l^{\mathcal{I}}(n-p) = \{x \in S(n-X) : \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|t_k - t, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

THEOREM 2. Let \mathcal{I} an admissible ideal. $l^{\mathcal{I}}(n-p)$ sequences space is a linear space.

PROOF. Using properties of ideal and partial sums of sequences the proof can easily be done similar the above Lemma 2.7. □

THEOREM 3. $l(n-p)$ space is a paranormed spaces with the paranorm defined by $g : l(n-p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$g(x) = \left(\sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

,where $0 < p_k \leq \sup p_k = H, M = \max(1, H)$.

PROOF. (i) $g(\theta) = \left(\sum_k \|\theta_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} = 0.$

(ii) $g(-x) = \left(\sum_k \|-x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$
 $= \left(\sum_k |-1| \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} = g(x)$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &= \left(\sum_k \|x_k + y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k (\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k (\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)^{\frac{p_k}{M} M} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left(\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{\frac{p_k}{M}} + \|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{\frac{p_k}{M}} \right)^M \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left(\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{\frac{p_k}{M}} \right)^M \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left(\sum_k \left(\|y_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{\frac{p_k}{M}} \right)^M \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &= g(x) + g(y). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Now let $\lambda^n \rightarrow \lambda$ and $g(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda^n x^n - \lambda x) &= \left(\sum_k \|\lambda^n x_k^n - \lambda x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq |\lambda|^{n \frac{H}{M}} \left(\sum_k \|x_k^n - x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_k |\lambda^n - \lambda| \|x_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

First statement of the above inequality tends to zero because $g(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Also, since $\lambda^n \rightarrow \lambda$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) using Lemma 2.7 second statement of the above inequality tends to zero as well. \square

THEOREM 4. *If $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is finite dimensional n -Banach spaces then $(l(n-p), g)$ is complete.*

PROOF. Let (x^n) be a Cauchy sequence in $(l(n-p), g)$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n > N_0$ we have

$$g(x^n - x^m) = \left(\sum_k \|x_k^n - x_k^m, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon$$

which implies $(\|x^n - x^m, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon$, for each k . So, (x^n) is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and since $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is n -Banach space there exists an x in X such that $\|x_k^n - x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and this completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 5. *If $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be any standard n -normed space then*

$$l(n-p)_{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty} \equiv l(n-p)_{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{(n-1)S}},$$

that is, $x \in l(n-p)_{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty} \Leftrightarrow x \in l(n-p)_{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{(n-1)S}}$

PROOF. From fact 2.3 in [8] we have

$$\|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_\infty \leq \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_S \leq \sqrt{n} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_\infty$$

for all z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_\infty^{p_k} &\leq \sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_S^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_k [\sqrt{n} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_\infty]^{p_k} \\ &\leq n^{\frac{H}{2}} \sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}\|_\infty^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

THEOREM 6. $u \in l_\infty \Rightarrow ux \in l(n-p)$, where l_∞ is bounded sequences spaces.

PROOF. Let $u = (u_k) \in l_\infty$. Then there exists a $A > 0$ such that $|u_k| \leq A$ for each k . We want to show $(u_k x_k) \in l(n-p)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|u_k x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} &= \sum_k [|u_k| \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|]^{p_k} \\ &\leq A^H \sum_k \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Now we give some generalizations of subjects given in [14].

DEFINITION 8. Let $A = (a_{m,k})$ be a non-negative matrix. Define the new sequences space as follows:

$$w_0(n-p) = \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \|a_{m,k} x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} = 0 \right\}$$

for each x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} in X . If $x - te \in w_0(n-p)$ then we say x is $w_0(n-p)$ summable to t , where, $e = (1, 1, \dots)$.

THEOREM 7. $w_0(n-p)$ is linear.

PROOF. It can be done very similar to the proof of linearity of $l(n-p)$ □

THEOREM 8. $w_0(n-p)$ is paranormed space by

$$g(x) = \sup_m \left(\sum_k \|a_{m,k} x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

PROOF. Again it is very similar to above one we omit it. □

THEOREM 9. If $A = (a_{m,k})$ is the matrix of Cesaro means of order 1 then $l(n-p) \subseteq w_0(n-p)$.

PROOF. If $A = (a_{m,k})$ is the matrix of Cesaro means of order 1 then

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{m,k} x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

So, if $x \in l(n-p)$ then there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|^{p_k} = M > 0.$$

Hence $0 \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m(x) \leq \frac{M}{m} = 0$. This means $x \in w_0(n-p)$. \square

More generally we have the following result.

THEOREM 10. *If $A = (a_{m,k})$ is any regular matrix then $l(n-p) \subseteq w_0(n-p)$.*

References

- [1] H. FAST, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* **2** (1951), 241 – 244.
- [2] A.R. FREDMAN and J.J. SEMBER, Densities and summability, *Pacific J. Math.* **95** (1981), 293 – 305.
- [3] J.A. FRIDY, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* **5** (1985), 301 – 313.
- [4] S. GÄHLER, 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr.*, **26** (1963), 115 – 148.
- [5] H. GUNAWAN, On n -Inner Products, n -Norms, and The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online*, **5** (2001), 47 – 54.
- [6] H. GUNAWAN, The space of p -summable sequences and its natural n -norm, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **64**(1) (2001) 137 – 147.
- [7] H. GUNAWAN and M. MASHADI, On Finite Dimensional 2-normed spaces, *Soochow J. of Math.*, **27**(3) (2001), 321 – 329.
- [8] H. GUNAWAN, and M. MASHADI, On n -normed spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**(10) (2001), 631 – 639.
- [9] M. GÜRDAL and S. PEHLİVAN, The Statistical Convergence in 2-Banach Spaces, *Thai Journal of Math.*, **2**(1)(2004), 107 – 113.
- [10] J.L. KELLEY, *General Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1955.
- [11] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MACAJ and T. SALAT, \mathcal{I} -Convergence, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **26**(2) (2000), 669 – 686.
- [12] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MACAJ, T. SALAT and M. SLEZIAK, \mathcal{I} -Convergence and Extremal \mathcal{I} -Limit Points, *Math. Slovaca*, **55** (2005), 443 – 464.
- [13] C. KURATOWSKI, *Topologie I*, PWN Warszawa 1958.
- [14] I.J. MADDOX, Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **64**(1968), 335 – 340.
- [15] H.I. MILLER and C. ORHAN, On almost convergent and statistically convergent subsequences, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **93**(1 – 2) (2001), 135 – 151.
- [16] I.J. MADDOX, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge at the Uni. Press 1970.
- [17] C. PARK and T.M. RASSIAS, Isometries on linear n -normed spaces, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7**(5) (2006), 1 – 7.
- [18] W. RAYMOND, Y. FREESE and J. CHO, *Geometry of linear 2-normed spaces*, Huntington, N.Y. Nova Science Publishers 2001.
- [19] H. STEINHAUS, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, *Colloq. Math.* **2** (1951), 73 – 74.