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#### Abstract

Throughout this study, we propose a new subclass of bi-univalent functions by applying the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator and denoted as $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$. Additionally, we acquired the values of the initial coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$ which yield to this study's preliminary result. Subsequently, the preliminary result was applied to obtain the upper bound of Fekete-Szegö inequality, $\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right|$, for functions $f \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$.
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## Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
Furthermore, let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions of the form (1.1) which are univalent in U.

For the two functions $f$ and $g$, that are analytic in $\mathcal{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$, and write $f<g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z), z \in \mathcal{U}$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1, z \in \mathcal{U}$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), z \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $U$, the subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$. (see [23])

Apart from that, function $f$ which belongs to $\mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ that can be written as $f^{-1}(f(z))=z$, $(z \in \mathcal{U})$ and $f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$.

Throughout this study, let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in $U$. Since $f \in \Sigma$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), its inverse $g=f^{-1}$ can be shown as the expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Currently, various subclasses of bi-univalent functions have been introduced by mathematicians and the study of coefficient problems, especially the Hankel determinant, is still actively studied. (see [9])

Noonan and Thomas [19] gave a definition for the $m$ th Hankel determinant of $f$ for integers $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ as

$$
H_{m}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{n} & \cdots & a_{n+m-1} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n+m-1} & \cdots & a_{n+2 m-2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad a_{1}=1 .
$$

By considering several values for $m$ and $n$, the Hankel determinant $H_{2}(1), H_{2}(2)$ and $H_{2}(3)$ will be obtained. There are many results related to the results of $H_{2}(1), H_{2}(2)$ and $H_{2}(3)$ for subclasses of univalent and bi-univalent functions that have been widely explored by mathematicians, such as $[4,5,8$, $9,13,14,15,18,24]$.

Recently, the field of $q$-calculus has become a research trend among mathematicians. Researchers are interested in conducting research in this field because of its application in various branches of mathematics and physics. The application of $q$-calculus was initiated by Jackson [12]. He was the first to develop the $q$-integral and $q$-derivative in a systematic way.

For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) and $0<q<1$, the $q$-derivative of a function $f$ is defined by Jackson [12]

$$
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{f(z)-f(q z)}{(1-q) z}, & \text { for } \quad z \neq 0  \tag{1.3}\\
f^{\prime}(0), & \text { for } \quad z=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} f(z)=\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)\right)$. From (1.3), Jackson [12] has shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q} a_{n} z^{n-1} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[n]_{q}$ in (1.4) can be calculated by the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$in the formulae (1.5) then $[n]_{q} \rightarrow n$.
Besides that, Sălăgean [22] has proposed the following Sălăgean differential operator for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
\mathcal{D}^{1} f(z)=\mathcal{D} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) \\
\mathcal{D}^{k} f(z)=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{k-1} f(z)\right) \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}=1,2,3, \cdots)
\end{gathered}
$$

By substituting $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, the $k t h$ order of differential operator will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{k} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{k} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerous authors have been exploring the Sălăgean differential operator in the past few years, among them are as [3, 7, 11].

Further, Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [6] had generalized (1.6) and defined the Sălăgean $q$ differential operator for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ as given below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{0} f(z)=f(z), \\
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{1} f(z)=z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z), \\
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)=z \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k-1} f(z)\right), \\
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}^{k} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, z \in \mathcal{U}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The study associated with the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator had been comprehensively studied by such researchers [2, 10, 16, 17].

Several authors had investigated the Fekete-Szegö functional $H_{2}(1)$ for various subclasses of biunivalent functions associated with the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator (see [20, 25, 26]). Motivated by that investigation, using the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator given by (1.7) and the principle of subordination, for functions $g$ of the form (1.2), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)=w-a_{2}[2]_{q}^{k} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)[3]_{q}^{k} w^{3}+\cdots, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also introduce a new subclass of $\Sigma$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$. The target of this study is to determine the upper bound of Fekete-Szegö functional $H_{2}(1)=\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right|$, for the function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$. We begin with the following definition first.

Let $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$, be an analytic function in $U$ with positive real part, which is symmetrical with respect to the real axis. The function has a series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \quad\left(B_{1}>0\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we present a new subclass of $\Sigma$ as the following.
Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq \lambda<1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$ if the following subordination hold

$$
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}<\phi(z)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}<\phi(w),
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)$ is given by (1.8) and (1.7).
Remark 1.1. For $0 \leq \lambda<1$ and $k=0$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{0}(\lambda, \phi)$ if the following subordination hold

$$
\frac{z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda\left(z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)\right)}<\phi(z),
$$

and

$$
\frac{w \mathcal{D}_{q} g(w)}{(1-\lambda) g(w)+\lambda\left(w \mathcal{D}_{q} g(w)\right)}<\phi(w)
$$

where $z, w \in U$ and $\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)$ is given by (1.8).
To obtain the upper bound of $\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for $f \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$, we need the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$, which will be discussed in the following section.

Lemma 1.1 ([21]) If a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by

$$
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

then

$$
\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2 \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of all functions $p$, analytic in $z \in \mathcal{U}$, for which

$$
p(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

## Main Results

Next, we state our main result. Before that, we get the values for the initial coefficients $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$.
Lemma 2.1 Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\beta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{(1-\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda<1$.
Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$ and $g=f^{-1}$. Then there are analytic functions $u, v: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, with $u(0)=0=$ $v(0)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}=\phi(u(z)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}=\phi(v(w)) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first work on the left-hand side of the above equations. From (2.1) and (1.7), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)=z+[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} z^{2}+[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \\
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)=z+2[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} z^{2}+3[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)=z+(1+\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} z^{2}+(1+2 \lambda)[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by dividing equation (2.3) with equation (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} f(z)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} f(z)}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad=1+(1-\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} z+\left[2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3}-\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k} a_{2}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

For (2.2), let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w) & =w-[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w^{2}+[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}+\cdots \\
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w) & =w\left(1-2[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w+3[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& =w-2[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w^{2}+3[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)+ & \lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w) \\
& =w-(1+\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w^{2}+(1+2 \lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by dividing equation (2.6) with equation (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}{(1-\lambda) \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k} g(w)+\lambda \mathcal{D}_{q}^{k+1} g(w)}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad=1+(\lambda-1)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w+\left[\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k} a_{2}^{2}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)\right] w^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for the right-hand side of equation (2.1) and (2.2), we define the functions $m(z)$ and $n(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(z)=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)}=1+m_{1} z+m_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(z)=\frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)}=1+n_{1} z+n_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently, from (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[m_{1} z+\left(m_{2}-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[n_{1} z+\left(n_{2}-\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots\right] . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $m(z)$ and $n(z)$ are analytic in $U$ with $m(0)=1=n(0)$. Since $u, v: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, the functions $m(z)$ and $n(z)$ have a positive real part in $\mathcal{U},\left|m_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|n_{i}\right| \leq 2$.
Substituting equation (2.11) to equation (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u(z))=1+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} m_{1} z+\left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} m_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.12) into equation (1.9), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(v(w))=1+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} n_{1} w+\left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(n_{2}-\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} n_{1}^{2}\right] w^{2}+\cdots . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.5) and (2.13) into (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
1+(1-\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} z & +\left[2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3}-\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k} a_{2}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\cdots \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} m_{1} z+\left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} m_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $z$ and $z^{2}$ of both sides of equation (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& z: \\
& (1-\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} m_{1}  \tag{2.16}\\
& z^{2}:  \tag{2.17}\\
& 2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k} a_{3}-\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} m_{1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (2.8) and (2.14) into (2.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
1+(\lambda-1)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2} w & +\left[\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2}^{2}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)\right] w^{2} \\
= & 1+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} n_{1} w+\left[\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(n_{2}-\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} n_{1}^{2}\right] w^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $w$ and $w^{2}$ of both sides of equation (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w: \quad(\lambda-1)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} n_{1} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w^{2}: \quad\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{k} a_{2}^{2}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(n_{2}-\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{2} n_{1}^{2}$.
From (2.16) and (2.19), it shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=-n_{1} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}=\frac{8(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k} a_{2}^{2}}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.17), (2.20) and (2.22), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\beta_{1}^{3}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)}{4\left[\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right]} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, by taking the modulus of both sides of equation (2.23) and applying Lemma 1.1 to the coefficient $\left|m_{2}\right|$ and $\left|n_{2}\right|$, we attain

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\beta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

By subtracting equation (2.17) from equation (2.20), then using (2.21) and (2.22), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}+\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{8(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the modulus on both sides of equation (2.24) and utilizing Lemma 1.1 once again to the coefficients $\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{2}\right|,\left|n_{1}\right|$ and $\left|n_{2}\right|$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{(1-\lambda)[2]_{q}^{k}}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore, the proof for Lemma 2.1 is completed.
For $\lambda=0$ in Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.1. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(0, \phi)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\beta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left(-[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{2[3]_{q}^{k}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{[2]_{q}^{k}}\right)^{2}
$$

From Remark 1.1, Lemma 2.1 generates the following corollary.
Corollary 2.2. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{0}(\lambda, \phi)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\beta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{(1-\lambda)[2]_{q}}\right)^{2}
$$

The main result is stated as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{1}}{2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}, & 0 \leq|\Theta(\rho)|<\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}} \\
4 B_{1}|\Theta(\rho)|, & |\Theta(\rho)| \geq \frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where

$$
\Theta(\rho)=\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}(1-\rho)}{4\left[\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right]}
$$

Proof. From (2.24), we know that

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}+a_{2}^{2} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\beta_{1}\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}+(1-\rho) a_{2}^{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.23) to (2.25), we have

$$
a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}=\beta_{1}\left[\left(\Theta(\rho)+\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}\right) m_{2}+\left(\Theta(\rho)-\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}\right) n_{2}\right]
$$

where

$$
\Theta(\rho)=\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}(1-\rho)}{4\left[\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right]}
$$

Since all $\beta_{j}$ are real and $\beta_{1}>0$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \beta_{1}\left|\left(\Theta(\rho)+\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}\right)+\left(\Theta(\rho)-\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}\right)\right|
$$

where

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{2(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}} \text { for } 0 \leq|\Theta(\rho)|<\frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 4 \beta_{1}|\Theta(\rho)| \text { for }|\Theta(\rho)| \geq \frac{1}{8(1-\lambda)[3]_{q}^{k}}
$$

Therefore, the proof for Theorem 2.1 is completed.
For $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.3. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(0, \phi)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{B_{1}}{2[3]_{q}^{k}}, & 0 \leq|\Theta(\rho)|<\frac{1}{8[3]_{q}^{k}} \\
4 B_{1}|\Theta(\rho)|, & g \\
& |\Theta(\rho)| \geq \frac{1}{8[3]_{q}^{k}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\Theta(\rho)=\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}(1-\rho)}{4\left[\left(-[2]_{q}^{2 k}+2[3]_{q}^{k}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}+[2]_{q}^{2 k}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right]}
$$

## Conclusions

Throughout this study, a new subclass of bi-univalent functions by applying the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator had been presented. Along with that, we had also determined the initial coefficients, $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ and the upper bound of Fekete-Szegö inequality for function $f$ belongs to the new subclass $\mathcal{L} \Sigma_{q}^{k}(\lambda, \phi)$ had been discovered.
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