

Coefficient Estimate on Second Hankel Determinant of the Logarithmic Coefficients for Close-To-Convex Function Subclass with Respect to the Koebe Function

Shaharuddin Cik Soh, Daud Mohamad^a, Huzaifah Dzubaidi*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi Mara (UITM), 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Abstract Let S denote the subclass of the analytic function and univalent functions in D , where D is defined as the unit disk and having the Taylor representation form of S . In this paper, we will estimate the second Hankel determinant which the elements are the logarithmic coefficients of the class close-to-convex function with respect to the Koebe function in S .

Keywords: Univalent functions, analytic functions, Hankel determinant, close-to-convex functions.

Introduction

Let S be a subclass of class A , where the class A is analytic and normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ in D . The notation of D is defined as the unit disk, $|z| < 1$ such that $z \in C$. If the function $f \in A$, then $f(z)$ has the series form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \tag{1}$$

Starlike functions, convex functions, and close-to-convex functions are the three main subclasses in S . We made S represent the class of univalent functions in A . If the following criteria have been met,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \tag{2}$$

then $f \in A$ becomes a starlike function for $z \in D$. The starlike function class is denoted by S^* . It has an important class member, the Koebe function, which can be defined as follows,

$$k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}. \tag{3}$$

In the most recent issues for the S^* and S classes, the Koebe function plays a crucial role as an extreme function. When a function $f \in A$ fits the following criteria, it is called a convex function,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, \tag{4}$$

for $z \in D$. This class is denoted by CV in class S . If there is a real number, α , where $|\alpha| < \pi/2$ and a convex function $g(z)$ that fits the following conditions, a function $f \in A$ is said to be close-to-convex,

*For correspondence:
huzaifahdzubaidi@yahoo.com

Received: 12 July 2022

Accepted: 15 Feb. 2023

© Copyright Soh. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > 0, \tag{5}$$

where $z \in D$ [13]. In 1916, Alexander stated that there is a link between a starlike function and a convex function, with the condition that if function $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ then $h(z) = zg'(z)$ and $g(z) \in CV$ respectively [10]. Therefore, the condition (5) can be formed as follows

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)}\right) > 0, \tag{6}$$

where $z \in D$. From there, we can deduce that the starlike functions and convex functions are both close-to-convex functions. We may sum it up by $CV \subseteq \mathcal{S}^* \subseteq K \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ with suitable inclusion. We denote the class of close-to-convex function as K .

The class of \mathcal{S}^* , CV and K functions have a representation that uses the Caratheodory class P . The class P is an analytic function P in D by having the following form,

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \tag{7}$$

where $z \in D$ and having a positive real part in D . These classes can be expressed by the coefficients of functions in P . The logarithmic coefficients of function f , can be written as,

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \tag{8}$$

where $z \in D$. Milin's conjecture relies heavily on the logarithmic coefficients (cited by author in [15]). It can be seen that the class sharp estimates for the single logarithmic coefficient, $|\gamma_1| \leq 1$, $|\gamma_2| \leq 0.635$, and $n=3,4,\dots$, are unknown. The study of logarithmic coefficients has recently attracted the public's curiosity. [1], [2], [5], [8], [9], [11], [17], [18], [22] and [23], are just a few examples of logarithmic coefficient estimations that have been established.

Hankel matrices and determinants serve some important roles in mathematics and have a variety of applications. The Hankel determinants for their classes have been identified by many researchers. The authors in [4], [6], [12], [13], [16], [19] and [21] are just a few examples. Recently, the author in [15] issued a sharp finding of the Hankel determinants, whose entries are the logarithmic coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{S}$, that is

$$H_{q,n}(F_f/2) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_n & \gamma_{n+1} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q-1} \\ \gamma_{n+1} & \gamma_{n+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n+q-1} & \gamma_{n+q} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$. They are working on determining the Hankel determinants for the starlike and convex function classes in their research. In addition, the author in [3] found a second Hankel determinant with the logarithmic coefficients for the starlike and convex functions classes with excellent results. During that year, the author in [4] obtained an accurate result of the second Hankel determinant of the logarithmic coefficient for some subclasses of the analytic functions.

From there, we were inspired to obtain the Hankel determinant for the subclasses of \mathcal{S} , particularly for the class of close-to-convex functions. In the same year, the author in [20] defined the class $K_{\alpha\delta}$ which is a class of close-to-convex function with the satisfaction of the following conditions

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > \delta,$$

where $z \in D$, $g(z) \in S^*$, $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $\cos(\alpha) > \delta$. From there, we tend to find the Hankel determinant for the class of close-to-convex function K_0 where the function $g(z)$ in the form of Koebe function.

In this paper, we deal with functional $H_{2,1}(F_f/2) = \gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2$ when the function f is close-to-convex univalent function. We know that $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ corresponds with the functional $H_{2,1}(f) = a_3 - a_2^2$ over class S or its subclasses. Also, it associated with the coefficient b_1 in the class Σ and area theorem (cited by author in [15]). Besides, this functional for the class S was estimated by Bieberbach in 1916 (cited by author in [15]). We know that the logarithmic coefficients can write as

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_2, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3\right).$$

Therefore,

$$H_{2,1}(F_f/2) = \frac{1}{4}\left(a_2a_4 - a_3^2 + \frac{1}{12}a_2^4\right).$$

Note that, the condition if the function $f \in S$, and $\theta \in R$, then $f_\theta(z) := e^{-i\theta}f\left(e^{-i\theta}z\right)$. Therefore, we have the following inequality,

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f_\theta}/2) = \frac{e^{4i\theta}}{4}\left(a_2a_4 - a_3^2 + \frac{1}{12}a_2^4\right) = e^{4i\theta}H_{2,1}(F_f/2).$$

The objective of this paper is to find the upper bound of $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ when the function f is the class of close-to-convex function, K_0 . The following Lemmas will be used to get the upper bound of $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ for class K_0 .

Lemma 1.1. (Cited by author in [15]) If $p \in P$ is of the form (7) with $c_1 \geq 0$, then

$$c_1 = 2\zeta_1, \tag{9}$$

$$c_2 = 2\zeta_1^2 + 2\left(1 - \zeta_1^2\right)\zeta_2, \tag{10}$$

and

$$c_3 = 2\zeta_1^3 + 4\left(1 - \zeta_1^2\right)\zeta_1\zeta_2 - 2\left(1 - \zeta_1^2\right)\zeta_1\zeta_2^2 + 2\left(1 - \zeta_1^2\right)\left(1 - |\zeta_2|^2\right)\zeta_3. \tag{11}$$

for some $\zeta_1 \in [0, 1]$ and $\zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \bar{D} := \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$. For $\zeta_1 \in D$ and $\zeta_2 \in T = \{z \in C : |z| = 1\}$, there is a unique function $p \in P$ with c_1 and c_2 as in (9)-(10), namely,

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_1)z + \zeta_2z^2}{1 + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)z - \zeta_2z^2}, \quad z \in D.$$

For $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in D$ and $\zeta_3 \in T$, there is a unique function $p \in P$ with c_1, c_2 and c_3 as in (9)-(11)

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_3 + \bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_1)z + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_2\zeta_3 + \zeta_2)z^2 + \zeta_3z^3}{1 + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_3 + \bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)z + (\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_3 - \zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_2\zeta_3 - \zeta_2)z^2 - \zeta_3z^3}, \quad z \in D.$$

Lemma 1.2. (Cited by author in [3]) Given real numbers A, B, C , let

$$Y(A, B, C) := \max\{|A + Bz + Cz^2| + |1 - z|^2 : z \in \bar{D}\}$$

I. If $AC \geq 0$, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} |A| + |B| + |C|, & |B| \geq 2(1 - |C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 - |C|)}, & |B| < 2(1 - |C|). \end{cases}$$

II. If $AC < 0$, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} 1 - |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1-|C|)}, & -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1\right) \leq B^2 \wedge |B| < 2(1-|C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1-|C|)}, & B^2 < \min\left\{4(1+|C|)^2, -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1\right)\right\} \\ R(A, B, C) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$R(A, B, C) := \begin{cases} |A| + |B| - |C|, & |C|(|B| + 4|A|) \leq |AB|, \\ -|A| + |B| + |C|, & |AB| \leq |C|(|B| - 4|A|), \\ (|C| + |A|)\sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem will prove the second Hankel determinant with entries are logarithmic coefficient for the class of close-to-convex function with respect to the Koebe function.

Theorem 1.0. *If the function $f \in K_0$ (close-to-convex function), then*

$$|\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2| \leq 0.08513424068$$

The inequality is sharp.

Prove. From the following equation,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = p(z)$$

where $g(z) = K(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ is the Koebe function. Then, we have

$$zf'(z) = g(z)p(z). \tag{12}$$

By differentiating the equation (12) and computing the coefficients of z^2 , z^3 , and z^4 , we get

$$a_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1, \tag{13}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{3}c_2 + \frac{2}{3}c_1 + 1, \tag{14}$$

and

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1 + 1. \tag{15}$$

respectively. Note that, the logarithmic coefficients for γ_1 , γ_2 , and γ_3 give

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_2, \tag{16}$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2\right), \tag{17}$$

and

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3\right). \tag{18}$$

respectively. Then, substitute the equation of (13), (14), and (15) into the logarithmic coefficients, (16) - (18), yields

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}c_1, \tag{19}$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{6}c_2 + \frac{1}{12}c_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16}c_1^2, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{8}c_3 + \frac{1}{12}c_2 + \frac{1}{24}c_1 + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{12}c_1c_2 - \frac{1}{24}c_1^2 + \frac{1}{48}c_1^3. \tag{21}$$

Then, by applying Lemma 1.1 into the logarithmic coefficient (19) – (20), we have

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_1, \tag{22}$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1^2 + \frac{1}{3} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{6} \zeta_1 + \frac{1}{4}, \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 = & \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1^3 + \frac{1}{6} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \zeta_1^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 + \frac{1}{6} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1 + \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Note that, the second Hankel determinant denotes $H_{2,1}(F_f/2) = \gamma_1 \gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2$. For simplification, we need to let $A_1 = \gamma_1 \gamma_3$ and $A_2 = \gamma_2^2$. From there, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = & \frac{1}{24} \zeta_1^3 + \frac{1}{6} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{8} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} (1 + \zeta_1) (1 - \zeta_1^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \\ & + \frac{1}{12} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{8} \zeta_1 + \frac{1}{24} \zeta_1^4 + \frac{1}{12} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{8} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{24} \zeta_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = & \frac{1}{144} \zeta_1^4 + \frac{1}{9} (1 - \zeta_1^2)^2 \zeta_2^2 + \frac{5}{72} \zeta_1^2 + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{18} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{36} \zeta_1^3 \\ & + \frac{1}{9} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{6} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1 \end{aligned}$$

After that, we subtract the equation of A_1 and A_2 ($A_1 - A_2 = \gamma_1 \gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2$), and it yields

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 - A_2 = & \frac{1}{72} \zeta_1^3 + \frac{1}{24} \zeta_1 + \frac{5}{144} \zeta_1^4 - \frac{1}{36} \zeta_1^2 + \frac{1}{48} + \zeta_2 (1 - \zeta_1^2) \left(\frac{1}{18} \zeta_1 - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{36} \zeta_1^2 \right) \\ & + \zeta_2^2 (1 - \zeta_1^2) \left(-\frac{1}{8} \zeta_1 - \frac{1}{8} \zeta_1^2 - \frac{1}{9} (1 - \zeta_1^2) \right) + \frac{1}{8} (1 + \zeta_1) (1 - \zeta_1^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \end{aligned}$$

and gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 - A_2 = & \frac{1}{72} \zeta_1^3 + \frac{1}{24} \zeta_1 + \frac{5}{144} \zeta_1^4 - \frac{1}{36} \zeta_1^2 + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{36} (1 - \zeta_1^2) (\zeta_1 + 3) (\zeta_1 - 1) \zeta_2 \\ & - \frac{1}{72} (1 - \zeta_1^2) (\zeta_1 + 8) (\zeta_1 + 1) \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} (1 + \zeta_1) (1 - \zeta_1^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \end{aligned}$$

A). Suppose that $\zeta_1 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma_1 \gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 \right| \leq & \left| \frac{1}{72} (1)^3 + \frac{1}{24} (1) + \frac{5}{144} (1)^4 - \frac{1}{36} (1)^2 + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{36} (1 - (1)^2) ((1) + 3) ((1) - 1) \zeta_2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{72} (1 - (1)^2) ((1) + 8) ((1) + 1) \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} (1 + (1)) (1 - (1)^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \right| \\ = & \left| \frac{1}{72} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{5}{144} - \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{48} \right| \\ = & \frac{1}{12} \approx 0.083333 \end{aligned}$$

B). Suppose that $\zeta_1 = 0$, and $|\zeta_2| \leq 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma_1 \gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 \right| \leq & \left| \frac{1}{72} (0)^3 + \frac{1}{24} (0) + \frac{5}{144} (0)^4 - \frac{1}{36} (0)^2 + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{36} (1 - (0)^2) ((0) + 3) ((0) - 1) \zeta_2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{72} (1 - (0)^2) ((0) + 8) ((0) + 1) \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} (1 + (0)) (1 - (0)^2) (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \right| \\ = & \left| \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{36} (3) (-1) \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{72} (8) \zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} (1 - |\zeta_2|^2) \zeta_3 \right| \\ = & \frac{31}{144} \approx 0.21527 \end{aligned}$$

C). Suppose that $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$, Since $|\zeta_3| \leq 1$, we have

$$\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 = \frac{1}{72}\zeta_1^3 + \frac{1}{24}\zeta_1 + \frac{5}{144}\zeta_1^4 - \frac{1}{36}\zeta_1^2 + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{36}(1-\zeta_1^2)(\zeta_1+3)(\zeta_1-1)\zeta_2 - \frac{1}{72}(1-\zeta_1^2)(\zeta_1+8)(\zeta_1+1)\zeta_2^2 + \frac{1}{8}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2)(1-|\zeta_2|^2)$$

and gives

$$\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 = \frac{1}{8}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) \left[\frac{2}{9(1+\zeta_1)} + \frac{1}{(1+\zeta_1)} \left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right] \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{(1+\zeta_1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right) \zeta_2^2 + 1 - |\zeta_2|^2 + \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} - \frac{1}{144}.$$

Then, we have

$$|\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2| = \frac{1}{8}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) \left[|A + B\zeta_2 + C\zeta_2^2| + 1 - |\zeta_2|^2 \right] + \left| \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} - \frac{1}{144} \right|, \tag{25}$$

where,

$$A = \frac{2}{9(1+\zeta_1)}, \quad B = \frac{1}{(1+\zeta_1)} \left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad C = \frac{1}{(1+\zeta_1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)$$

We tend to solve the equation $(1/8)(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) [|A + B\zeta_2 + C\zeta_2^2| + 1 - |\zeta_2|^2]$ by using the lemma 1.2, then we solve it together with the rest of the equation. The first step is showing the inequality of $AC < 0$, for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$, that gives

$$\frac{2}{9(1+\zeta_1)^2} \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right) < 0,$$

and further simplification, we have the following inequality,

$$-\frac{(2\zeta_1+16)}{81(1+\zeta_1)} < 0,$$

which is showed decreasing for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$. Since $AC < 0$, we apply Lemma 1.2 only for case II. Before we venture into more details, we know that the absolutes of A , B and C give

$$|A| = 2 \left[\frac{1}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |B| = \left[\frac{(2\zeta_1^2+4\zeta_1-6)^2}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |C| = \left(\frac{(\zeta_1+8)^2}{9} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

C1). Note that, the inequality of $-4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) - B^2 \leq 0$, gives

$$-\frac{8 \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right) \left(\frac{(1+\zeta_1)^2}{\left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)^2} - 1 \right)}{(9+9\zeta_1)(1+\zeta_1)} - \frac{\left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} - \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2}{(1+\zeta_1)^2} \leq 0$$

Further simplification of the above equation, we have

$$\frac{-4\zeta_1^5 - 48\zeta_1^4 - 128\zeta_1^3 - 24\zeta_1^2 + 356\zeta_1 - 152}{81(1+\zeta_1)^2(\zeta_1+8)} \leq 0$$

yields

$$-4\zeta_1^5 - 48\zeta_1^4 - 128\zeta_1^3 - 24\zeta_1^2 + 356\zeta_1 - 152 \leq 0$$

By solving it computationally using the Maple software of the 2019 version for the equation, we have the

following roots $\zeta_1 \approx -8.453204077$, $\zeta_1 \approx 0.4958717766$ and $\zeta_1 \approx 1$. The root for $\zeta_1 \approx 0.4958717766$ and $\zeta_1 \approx 1$ are hold for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$ of the inequality $-4\zeta_1^5 - 48\zeta_1^4 - 128\zeta_1^3 - 24\zeta_1^2 + 356\zeta_1 - 152 \leq 0$. Now we look into another inequality for $|B| - 2(1 - |C|) < 0$, which gives

$$2 \left[\frac{\zeta_1^4}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} + \frac{4\zeta_1^3}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} - \frac{2\zeta_1^2}{(9+\zeta_1)^2} - \frac{12\zeta_1}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} + \frac{9}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2 + \frac{2}{9} [(\zeta_1+8)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} < 0,$$

and

$$\frac{2}{(9+9\zeta_1)} (\zeta_1^2 + 2\zeta_1 - 3) - 2 + \frac{2}{9} (\zeta_1 + 8) < 0.$$

By simplifying the equation, we get

$$\frac{4\zeta_1^2 + 4\zeta_1 - 8}{(9+9\zeta_1)} < 0,$$

and

$$4\zeta_1^2 + 4\zeta_1 - 8 < 0,$$

which is false for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$. Therefore, for the case C1 is not fulfilled

C2). Next, we move on to the inequality of $B^2 < \min \left\{ 4(1+|C|)^2, -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) \right\}$. From there, we have

$$4(1+|C|)^2 = 4 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{(\zeta_1+8)^2}}{9} \right)^2,$$

which gives

$$4(1+|C|)^2 = \frac{580}{81} - \frac{(8)\sqrt{\zeta_1^2 + 16\zeta_1 + 64}}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1^2}{81} + \frac{64\zeta_1}{81}.$$

Next, the following equation can be expressed as

$$-4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) = \frac{8 \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right) \left(\frac{(1+\zeta_1)^2}{\left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)^2} - 1 \right)}{(9+9\zeta_1)(1+\zeta_1)},$$

and by further simplify it, we get

$$-4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) = \frac{-8\zeta_1^2 - 128\zeta_1 + 136}{81\zeta_1^2 + 729\zeta_1 + 648}.$$

By substituting any values for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$, and comparing the solution for the following equation,

$$\frac{580}{81} - \frac{(8)\sqrt{\zeta_1^2 + 16\zeta_1 + 64}}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1^2}{81} + \frac{64\zeta_1}{81}$$

and

$$\frac{-8\zeta_1^2 - 128\zeta_1 + 136}{81\zeta_1^2 + 729\zeta_1 + 648}.$$

From there, we know that,

$$4(1+|C|)^2 < -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right),$$

Then, we can say that $B^2 < 4(1+|C|)^2$. From that inequality, we know that $B^2 - 4(1+|C|)^2 < 0$, and gives

$$\frac{\left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3}\right)^2}{(1+\zeta_1)^2} - 4\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{(\zeta_1+8)^2}}{9}\right)^2 < 0,$$

and further simplification, we get

$$\frac{16(\zeta_1^3 - 3\zeta_1 + 2)}{81(1+\zeta_1)^2} < 0$$

By solving computationally of the inequality above, we noted that the roots obtained are $\zeta_1 \approx 1$ and $\zeta_1 \approx -2$. These do not lie on the interval $(0,1)$ for ζ_1 . Therefore, the case C2 is unfulfilled.

C3). Now, we look on the inequality of $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) \leq |AB|$. Before that, we need to calculate them separately for a better simplification. We know that

$$AB = \frac{4(\zeta_1^2 + 2\zeta_1 - 3)}{81(1+\zeta_1)^2} \text{ and } |AB| = \frac{4\left(\frac{(\zeta_1^2 + 2\zeta_1 - 3)^2}{(1+\zeta_1)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{81}.$$

Then, the inequality of $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) - |AB| \leq 0$, can be written as

$$\frac{\left(\sqrt{(\zeta_1+8)^2}\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{(2\zeta_1^2+4\zeta_1-6)^2}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2}} + (8)\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2}}\right)\right)}{9} + \frac{(4)\left(\sqrt{\frac{(\zeta_1^2+2\zeta_1-3)^2}{(1+\zeta_1)^4}}\right)}{81} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\frac{(\zeta_1+8)\left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2+4\zeta_1-6}{9+9\zeta_1} + \frac{8}{9+9\zeta_1}\right)}{9} + \frac{(4)\left(\frac{\zeta_1^2+2\zeta_1-3}{(1+\zeta_1)^2}\right)}{81} \leq 0.$$

We can further simplify the inequality as follows,

$$\frac{2\zeta_1^4 + 22\zeta_1^3 + 50\zeta_1^2 + 42\zeta_1 + 28}{81(1+\zeta_1)^2} \leq 0$$

From there, we can see that the obtained roots for the above inequality, yielding

$$\zeta_1 = -2 \text{ and } \zeta_1 = -\frac{(540 + 60\sqrt{21})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3} - \frac{20}{(540 + 60\sqrt{21})^{\frac{1}{3}}} - 3 \approx -8.254730169,$$

which the roots are not satisfied for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$. Therefore, the case C3 is failed.

C4). Next, we look into the inequality of $|AB| \leq |C|(|B| - 4|A|)$, that gives

$$\frac{(4)\left(\sqrt{\frac{(\zeta_1^2+2\zeta_1-3)^2}{(1+\zeta_1)^4}}\right)}{81} - \frac{\left(\sqrt{(\zeta_1+8)^2}\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{(2\zeta_1^2+4\zeta_1-6)^2}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2}} - (8)\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2}}\right)\right)}{9} \leq 0,$$

and.

$$\frac{(4)\left(\frac{\zeta_1^2+2\zeta_1-3}{(1+\zeta_1)^2}\right)}{81} - \frac{(\zeta_1+8)\left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2+4\zeta_1-6}{9+9\zeta_1} - \frac{8}{9+9\zeta_1}\right)}{9} \leq 0.$$

We can simplify the above inequality becomes,

$$-\frac{2(\zeta_1^4 - 11\zeta_1^3 + 17\zeta_1^2 - 51\zeta_1 - 50)}{81(1+\zeta_1)^2} \leq 0.$$

Then, we obtained the root for the inequality as follows,

$$\zeta_1 \approx -0.8602810742,$$

which the root obtained do not lie on the interval (0,1) for ζ_1 . Therefore, the case C4 is not satisfied.

C5). Lastly, we choose the inequality of $(|C|+|A|)\sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}}$. Then

$$\left| \gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2)(|C|+|A|)\sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}} + \left| \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} - \frac{1}{144} \right|$$

which gives,

$$\left| \gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{32}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\zeta_1+8)^2}}{9} + 2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{(9+9\zeta_1)^2}} \right) \right) \sqrt{16 - \frac{2 \left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 (9+9\zeta_1)}{(1+\zeta_1) \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)}} + \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} + \frac{1}{144} := \psi(\zeta_1)$$

Now, we determine in which of the half-plane (left or right) that provide the root on the interval (0,1) for the function $\psi(\zeta_1)$. First, we observe the function $\psi(\zeta_1)$ for the right half-plane, which gives

$$\psi(\zeta_1) = \frac{1}{32}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) \left(\frac{(\zeta_1+8)}{9} + \frac{2}{(9+9\zeta_1)} \right) \sqrt{16 - \frac{2 \left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 (9+9\zeta_1)}{(1+\zeta_1) \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)}} + \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} + \frac{1}{144}.$$

By solving computationally for the numerical root finding, we obtained the roots for $\zeta_1 \approx 1.005506079$ which is false for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$. Again, we used the same approach to obtain the root for the left half plane for the function $\psi(\zeta_1)$. We have

$$\psi(\zeta_1) = \frac{1}{32}(1+\zeta_1)(1-\zeta_1^2) \left(\frac{(-1)(\zeta_1+8)}{9} + \frac{(-2)}{(9+9\zeta_1)} \right) \sqrt{16 - \frac{2 \left(\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\zeta_1}{9} - \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 (9+9\zeta_1)}{(1+\zeta_1) \left(-\frac{\zeta_1^2}{9} - \zeta_1 - \frac{8}{9} \right)}} + \frac{5\zeta_1^4}{144} + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{72} + \frac{\zeta_1}{24} + \frac{1}{144},$$

which gives the root $\zeta_1 \approx 0.9945678421$, and this root holds for $\zeta_1 \in (0,1)$. Therefore, we have,

$$\left| \gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2 \right| \leq \psi(0.9945678421) \approx 0.08513424$$

This concludes the proof.

Conclusions

We summarised the inequality in (25) that followed from the section A until C, the equality for the function $f \in A$ provided by equation (12), where $p \in P$. It has the form of (7) with $\zeta_1 \approx 0.9945678421$, $\zeta_2 \approx 1$, and $\zeta_3 \approx 1$ that give,

$$\frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 + (1.989135684)z + z^2}{1 - z^2}, \quad z \in D$$

Conflicts of Interest

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable ideas, suggestions and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Ali, M. F., & Vasudevarao, A. (2017). Logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 95(2), 228-237.
- [2] Ali, M. F., & Vasudevarao, A. (2018). On logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 146(3), 1131-1142.
- [3] Allu, V., & Arora, V. (2021). Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of certain analytic functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.05161*.
- [4] Allu, V., Arora, V., & Shaji, A. (2021). On the Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for certain univalent functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03067*
- [5] Alarifi, N. M. (2020). The third logarithmic coefficient for the subclasses of close-to-convex functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.01861*.
- [6] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus. (2007). Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 1, 619-625.
- [7] Aini Janteng, Suzeini Abdul Halim and Maslina Darus. (2008). Estimate on the second Hankel functional for functions whose derivative has a positive real part. *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis*, 4, 189-195.
- [8] Cho, N. E., Kowalczyk, B., Kwon, O. S., Lecko, A., & Sim, Y. J. (2020). On the third logarithmic coefficient in some subclasses of close-to-convex functions. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 114(2), 1-14.
- [9] Elhosh, M. M. (1996). On the logarithmic coefficients of close-to-convex functions. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 60(1), 1-6.
- [10] Goodman, A. W. (1983). *Univalent functions* (Vol. 1): Mancorp Pub.
- [11] Girela, D. (2000, January). Logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions. *In Annales-Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A1 Mathematica*, 25(2), 337-350. Academia Scientiarum Fennica.
- [12] Gangadharan, Murugusundaramoorthy and Nanjundan Magesh (2009). Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant. *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1(5), 85-89.
- [13] Kharudin, N., Akbarally, A., Mohamad, D., & Soh, S. C. (2011). The second Hankel determinant for the class of close to convex functions. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 66(3), 421-427.
- [14] Kaplan, W. (1952). Close-to-convex schlicht functions. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 1(2), 169-185.
- [15] Kowalczyk, B., & Lecko, A. (2021). Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of convex and starlike functions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 1-10.
- [16] Oqlah Al-Refai and Maslina Darus. (2009). Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by a Fractional Operator. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 28(2), 234-241.
- [17] Pranav Kumar, U., & Vasudevarao, A. (2018). Logarithmic coefficients for certain subclasses of close-to-convex functions. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 187(3), 543-563.
- [18] Roth, O. (2007). A sharp inequality for the logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 135(7), 2051-2054.
- [19] Shaharuddin Cik Soh and Daud Mohamad (2008). Coefficient bounds for certain classes of close-to-convex functions. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 2(27), 1343-1351.
- [20] Soh, S. C., Mohamad, D., & Dzubaidi, H. (2021). Coefficient estimate of toeplitz determinant for a certain class of close-to-convex functions. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 17(5), 670-677.
- [21] Selvaraj, C. and Vasanthi, N. (2010). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of close-to convex functions. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 4(37), 1807-1814.
- [22] Thomas, D. (2016). On the logarithmic coefficients of close to convex functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 144(4), 1681-1687.
- [23] Ye, Z. (2008). The logarithmic coefficients of close-to-convex functions. *Bulletin of the Institute Mathematics Academia Sinica (New Series)*, 3(3), 445-452.