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#### Abstract

Presently a direct analytical method is available for the digit-by-digit extraction of the square root of a given positive real number. To calculate the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number one may use trial and error method, iterative method, etc. When one desires to determine the $n^{\text {th }}$ root, it is found that such methods are inherent with certain weaknesses like the requirement of an initial guess, a large number of arithmetic operations and several iterative steps for convergence, etc. There has been no direct method for the determination of the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number. This paper focuses attention on developing a numerical algorithm to determine the digit-by-digit extraction of the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number up to any desired accuracy. Examples are provided to illustrate the algorithm.


$\mid n^{\text {th }}$ root $\mid$ perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power $\mid$ non-perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power $\mid$ blocks $\mid$ concatenation $\mid$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n$ be any natural number $>1$. Certain methods are currently available to find real positive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number. Historically speaking, the Babylonian method was developed in 1800 B.C. for the extraction of the square root of a number. One can apply the concept of logarithm introduced by Napier [1(a), (b)] to evaluate the root. However the logarithmic method does not yield accurate results due to truncation errors. It is observed that the conventional long-division square root method is accurate. An algorithm for finding the square root of a number has been described by R.G.Dromey in [2]. For the approximation of quadratic irrationals by rationals and the application of Pell's equation for the extraction of square root, one may refer Niven and Zuckerman [3]. For the determination of cube root, fourth root, etc., of a given number, one may employ an appropriate numerical method, for e.g, Newton's method. Determination of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ root reduces to solving a non-linear equation of a single variable for which the methods available may be categorized as direct analytical method, graphical method, trial and error method, iterative method, etc. Gower [4] has described an iterative method for the determination of roots. For the iteration methods like bisection method, false position method, Taylor series method, Newton-Raphson method, Muller's method, etc, one may see Burden and Faires [5]. These methods are inherent with certain weaknesses when one desires to utilize them for the extraction of the $n^{\text {th }}$ root

[^0]such as the requirement of an initial guess, a large number of arithmetic operations and several iterative steps for convergence, etc. For example, in Newton-Raphson method, a previously guessed value is required and an iterative method may not converge if the initial guess differs very much from the exact root. In [6] Matthews has discussed the computation of $n^{\text {th }}$ root of positive integers. Newton's method in the extraction of $n^{\text {th }}$ root has been demonstrated by Priestley [7]. An algorithm for finding the root with a five-function calculator employing logarithm has been furnished by Muench and Wildenberg in [8].

However, there is no direct analytical method for the extraction of the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given number. Developing an algorithm to find the $n^{\text {th }}$ root has remained an interesting and challenging problem. To mitigate the drawbacks in the existing methods, there is a crucial need to develop a new efficient method. Under this background, the present paper focuses attention on a computationally simple numerical algorithm for the digit-by-digit determination of the real positive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number up to any desired accuracy, by introducing three functions $U_{i}, V_{i}$ and $T_{i}$ and establishing a relationship involving them.

## 2. NUMBER OF DIGITS DUE TO EXPONENTIATION

First we need a basic result for our algorithm. Let $\mathbb{N}$ and W denote the sets of natural and whole numbers respectively. Let $\alpha$ be a given positive integer with $k$ digits, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider the number of digits occurring while $\alpha$ is raised to $n^{\text {th }}$ power.

## Theorem 1

If $m$ denotes the number of digits in $\alpha^{n}$ then $(k-1) n+1 \leq m \leq k n, \quad$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Proof

Case (i) First let us consider $n=2$. If $k=1$,
Then, $m=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, \text { for } 1 \leq a \leq 3 \\ 2, \text { for } 4 \leq a \leq 9\end{array}\right.$
If $k>1$, then we have
$m=\left\{\begin{array}{c}2 k-1, \text { for } 10^{k-1} \leq n \leq 3\left(10^{k-1}\right) \\ 2 k, \text { for } 4\left(10^{k-1}\right) \leq \alpha \leq 10^{k}-1\end{array}\right.$
and $2 \mathrm{k}-1 \leq \mathrm{m} \leq 2 \mathrm{k}$
for $3\left(10^{k-2}\right)+1 \leq a \leq 4\left(10^{k-2}\right)-1$
Equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) imply that (2.1) holds for $n$ $=2$.

Case (ii) Next suppose that $n>2$. If $k=1$, then $\alpha<10$ or $a^{n}<10^{n}$. This implies that $a^{n}$ contains utmost $n$ digits. Therefore
$1 \leq m \leq n$
Consider a $k$-digit number $a$ with $k>1$. Then we have
 implies that
$(k-1) n+1 \leq m \leq k n$
Hence the equation (2.5) and (2.6) imply that (2.1) holds for $n>2$. Thus the theorem holds for all values of $n, k$ $\in \mathbb{N}$.

## 3. NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

Suppose it is required to find the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive integer $M$. First we present a method when $M$ is a perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power of a positive integer. The general case is postponed to section 5 .

## Step 1

Starting from the unit place of $M$, split the digits of $M$ into a maximum possible number of blocks each of size $n$. In the process, if certain left most digits of $M$ are still remaining, then form another block with these digits. Let the total number of blocks, so formed with the digits of $M$, be $k$. Then, by Theorem 1, the real positive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of $M$ consists of $k$-digits, say $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k_{2}}$ starting from the unit place of it.

Let us denote $M$ by $M(k, n)$. Then
$M(k, n)=\left(10^{k-1} \alpha_{k}+10^{k-2} \alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+a_{1}\right)^{n}$ (3.1)
Name the blocks of $M(k, n)$, starting from the right of $M(k$, $n$ ), as $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$. Let $\left\|E_{i}\right\|$ denote the size of $E_{l}$, Then $\left|E_{i}\right|=n$, for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, k-1$
and $1 \leq\left\|B_{k i}\right\| \leq n$
Determine the maximum possible value of $\alpha_{R} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
$\left(\alpha_{k}\right)^{n} \leq B_{k}$
Let $R_{k}=\alpha_{k}$
If $k=1$, then $R_{k}$ gives the required real positive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of $M(k, n)$. If $k \neq 1$, then go to step 2 .

## Step 2

Form the block $D_{k}=B_{k}-a_{R}^{n}$
Define $M_{j_{i-1}}$ such that
$M_{k-1}=\mathrm{M}(k, n)-12^{[k-1 / n} a_{n}^{n}$
Let $U_{h i}=\alpha_{R}$
and $V_{h}=0$
Starting from the unit place of $M_{r i-1}$, split the digits of $M_{k-1}$ into ( $k-2$ ) blocks each of size $n$ and form another block with the remaining left most digits of $M_{k-1}$. Denote the left most block of $M_{k i-1}$ by $B_{k i-1}\left(M_{k i-1}\right)$. Then we have $B_{k-1}\left(M_{k-1}\right)=D_{h} \cdot B_{h-1}$
where $D_{k} \cdot B_{k-1}$ is defined as the concatenation (denoted by ${ }^{\prime} \cdot$ ') of $D_{k}$ and $B_{k-2}$.

Take $U_{k-1}=10\left(U_{k}+V_{k}\right)$
Determine the maximum value of $V_{k-1} \in \mathrm{~W}$ such that
$V_{k-1} T_{r i-1} \leq B_{r i-1}\left(M_{r-1}\right)$
where $T_{n-1}=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\binom{n}{n} U_{n-1}^{n-r} V_{n-1}^{N-1}$
and $\binom{n}{r}$ denotes the number of combinations of $n$ objects taken $r$ at a time.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Let } \alpha_{k-1}=V_{k-1}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \text { Define } R_{k-1}=R_{k} \text { o } V_{k-1} \text { so that } \\
& R_{k-1}=10 a_{k}+\alpha_{k-1} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

If $k=2$, then $R_{k-1}$ is the required root. If $k \neq 2$, then go to step 3.

## Step 3

Define $M_{k-2}=M_{k-1}-10^{(k-2) n} V_{k-1} T_{k-1}$ (3.16)
Repeat the process as in step 2 , where $M_{\lambda_{i}-2}$ consists of $(k-$ 2) blocks.

Find $U_{\mathrm{F}-2}$ and $V_{\mathrm{F}-2}$ by following the similar procedures in equations (3.11) and (3.12) respectively. Let $a_{h-2}=V_{h-2}$ and define $R_{h-2}$, etc., in a similar way. Repeat the process until $k$ reduces to 1 . Now $R_{1}=10^{i-1} a_{2}+10^{i k-2}$ $\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{q}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of $M$.

## 4. PROOF OF THE METHOD

We shall validate the method presented in section 3, by the principle of mathematical induction.

## Lemma 1

$$
1 \leq \alpha_{h} \leq 9
$$

## Proof

Using (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain $a_{n} \leq B_{h}<10^{n}$. Hence $\alpha_{R}<10 . \alpha_{k} \geq 1$, since $B_{k} \neq 0$ which imply that $1 \leq$ $\alpha_{h} \leq 9$.

## Lemma 2

$$
0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq 9, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1 .
$$

## Proof

Employing (3.6) we get $10^{n} D_{k}=10^{n} B_{k}-10^{n} a_{n}^{n}$. It follows that $10^{n} \alpha_{k}^{n}+10^{n} D_{h i}+B_{h-1}=10^{n} B_{h z}+B_{h-1}$. Using (3.8) and (3.10) we get
$10^{n} U_{r}^{2}+B_{k-1}\left(M_{k-1}\right)=B_{k} \cdot B_{k-1}$. Because of (3.12) this relation implies that $10^{n} U_{i}^{n}+V_{i-1} T_{i-1} \leq B_{h}$ o $B_{R i-1}$. In view of (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain $\| 10^{2} U_{k}^{\mathrm{K}}+\bar{V}_{-1} T_{k-1} \mid \leq$ $2 n$. Now (3.9) and (3.11) imply that $\left\|\mathbb{U}_{k-1}^{\mathrm{n}}+\nabla_{\mathrm{i}-1} T_{i-1}\right\| \leq$ $2 n$. Using Binomial theorem, we get $\left\|\left(U_{k-2}+V_{k-2}\right)^{n}\right\| \leq$ $2 n$. Therefore $\left\|\left(10 U_{k}+\nabla_{k-1}\right)^{2}\right\| \leq 2 n$.

In view of $U_{h i}=\alpha_{h} \neq 0$ and the maximum choice of $\alpha_{\kappa}$, by Theorem 1 it follows that
$0 \leq V_{k-1} \leq 9$. Using (3.14) we obtain $0 \leq \alpha_{k-1} \leq 9$.
Similarly we can prove that $0 \leq c_{i} \leq 9, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-$ 2.

Let $M(k, n)$ be the perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power of a positive integer, say $\lambda$. Then $M(k, n)=\lambda^{\text {n }}$
where $\lambda=10^{k-2} \alpha_{h i}+10^{k-2} \alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{1}$, using (3.1).
From equations (3.11) and (3.14) and step 3 of the algorithm it follows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
V_{i}=a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k-1 \\
U_{i}=10\left(U_{i+1}+V_{i+1}\right) i=1,2, \ldots, k-1 \tag{4.2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Equation (3.13) and step 3 of algorithm imply that
$T_{i}=\sum_{T=1}^{n}\binom{n}{)} U_{i}^{1-\gamma} V_{i}^{-1}, i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$
It is seen that
$U_{i}=\sum_{j=i+1}^{h} a_{j} 10^{i-i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$
from equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) and step 3 of algorithm. For $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, k-2$, step 3 and equations (3.7) and (3.16) imply that $M_{i}=M(k, n)-\left\{x_{k}^{n} 10^{(k-1) n}+\sum_{j=1+1}^{k-i} V_{i} T_{j} 10^{[j-1 n}\right\}$.

From equations (3.5) and (3.15) and step 3, we obtain $R_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{h} a_{j} 10^{j-i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
For $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, relation (4.4) implies that $U_{i}=10 \sum_{j=i+1}^{h} a_{j} 10^{j-i+1)}=10 R_{i+1}$. Hence from equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) and step 3 of the algorithm we obtain $\quad U_{i}=10, \quad R_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots k-1$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, k-2$, step 3 and equations (3.7) and (3.16) imply
$M_{i}=M(k, n)-\left\{a_{k}^{n} 10^{(h-1) n}+\sum_{j=1+1}^{k-1} V_{j} T_{j} 10^{j-1 n}\right\}$.
From equations (3.5) and (3.15) and step 3, we get $R_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{h} \quad a_{j} 10^{j-i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
For $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, relation (4.4) implies that $U_{i}=10 \sum_{j=i+1}^{h} a_{j} 10^{j-(i+1)}=10 R_{i+1}$. Hence $U_{i}=10$ $R_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots k-1$.

## Theorem 2

Given $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, the $U_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k), V_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ and $T_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k-1)$ satisfy the relation $U_{k} 10^{(k-1) n}+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} V_{i} T_{1} 10^{(i-1) n}=M(k, n)$

## Proof

From (3.1), it is seen that the relation (4.5) holds for $k$ $=1$.

Assume that the relation (4.5) holds for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider $M(k+1, n)$. Then the relations (3.8), (3.9), (4.2) and (4.3) hold with $k$ increased by 1 .

Now $U_{i+1}^{n} 10^{f i x}+\sum_{i=1}^{h} V_{i} T_{i} 10^{(i-1) n}=U_{i+1}^{n} 10^{m i n}+$ $\sum T_{=2} V_{1} T_{1} 10^{(i-1)}+V_{1} T_{2}=$ $\left(U_{n+1}^{n} 10^{(n-1 n}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} V_{i} T_{i} 10^{(i-2) v}\right) 10^{n}+V_{1} T_{1}$
$=\left(10^{h-1} a_{h+1}+10^{h-2} \alpha_{r i}+\ldots+a_{2}\right)^{n} 10^{n}+V_{1} T_{1}$
by using induction assumption for $U_{i}(\mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{k}+1), V_{i}(\mathrm{i}$ $=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{k}+1)$ and $T_{i}(\mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{k})$.
Hence $U_{k+1} 10^{h x}+\sum_{i=1} V_{i} T_{i} 10^{(i-1 n}=$ $\left(10^{k} \alpha_{k+1}+10^{k-1} \alpha_{k}+\ldots+10 \alpha_{2}\right)^{n}+V_{1} T_{1}$ (4.6)

Using the relation (4.5) applicable for $(k+1)$, the right side of (4.6) becomes $U_{1}^{2}+V_{1} T_{1}=U_{1}^{2}+$ $V_{1}\left[\binom{n}{1} U_{1}^{n-1}+\binom{n}{2} U_{1}^{2-2} V_{1}+\ldots+\binom{n}{n} V_{1}^{n-1}\right] \quad=$ $\left(U_{1}+V_{1}\right)^{n}$, using Binomial theorem
$=\left(10^{k} \alpha_{k+1}+10^{k-1} \alpha_{k}+\ldots+10 a_{2}+a_{1}\right)^{n}$
which yields $M(k+1, n)$. Hence the theorem follows by induction on $k$.

## 5. $\boldsymbol{n}^{\text {th }}$ ROOT IN GENERAL CASE

In general one may require to find the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a positive integer, which may not be a perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power or $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a positive real number. Then the algorithm presented in section 3 has to be adopted with certain modifications as indicated below.

Let $M$ be a positive real number. It may consist of integral and decimal parts. Suppose that the root is required upto $h$ places of decimals. Then multiply the given number $M$ by $10^{\mathrm{mm}}$ and follow the algorithm in section 3 for [ $10^{\text {hn }} M$ ], wherein $\mathbb{R}_{1}$ would be the integral part of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ root of $10^{\text {hn }} M$. Now divide $R_{1}$ by $10^{h}$ to obtain the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ root of $M$ up to $h$ places of decimals, since $\sqrt[n]{M}=\frac{1}{10^{h}} \sqrt[n]{10^{m} M}$.

Let us take some examples.

### 5.1 Case $M$ is a perfect $n^{\text {th }}$ power

Example 1. Find $\sqrt[3]{16457616482180544}$. Step 1

Let $M=16457616482180544$. Since $n=3$ split the digits of $M$ as described in section 3. We have

| $B_{6}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | 457 | 616 | 482 | 180 | 544 |

where $k=6$. We assert that $a_{6}=2$ is the maximum value such that $\alpha_{6}^{3} \leq 16$. It is seen that $R_{6}=\alpha_{6}=2$. Since $k \neq 1$, go to step 2.

## Step 2

Form the block $D_{6}=\boldsymbol{B}_{6}-a_{6}^{3}=8$. Define $M_{5}=M-$ $10^{5-7} a_{6}^{7}=8457616482180544$. Let $U_{6}=a_{6}=2$ and $V_{6}=0$. It is seen that $E_{5}\left(M_{5}\right)=D_{6} \cdot B_{5}=8457$.

Split the digits of $M_{\Sigma}$ as

| $B_{z}\left(M_{z}\right)$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8457 | 616 | 482 | 180 | 544 |

Take $U_{5}=10\left(U_{6}+V_{6}\right)=20$. Assigning the values of 0,1 , $2,3,4,5$ and 6 to $V_{5}$, we get $V_{5} T_{5}=0,1261,2648,4167$, 5824, 7625 and 9576 respectively, where $T_{5}=$ $\Sigma_{Y=1}^{B}\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\right) U_{\Sigma}^{2-r} V_{S}^{-1}$. Hence the maximum value of $V_{\Sigma} \in \mathrm{W}$, such that $V_{5} T_{5} \leq 8457$, is 5 where $T_{5}=1525$. Let $\alpha_{3}=V_{5}=$ 5 and $R_{5}=10 a_{6}+a_{5}=25$.

For the subsequent steps, the results are presented in the following table.

Step 3

| $i$ | $M_{i}$ | $U_{i}$ | $B_{i}\left(M_{i}\right)$ | $V_{i}$ | $T_{i}$ | $V_{i} T_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $R_{i}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 832616482180544 | 250 | 832616 | 4 | 190516 | 762064 | 4 | 254 |
| 3 | 70552482180544 | 2540 | 70552482 | 3 | 19377669 | 58133007 | 3 | 2543 |
| 2 | 12419475180544 | 25430 | 12419475180 | 6 | 1940512476 | 11643074856 | 6 | 25436 |
| 1 | 776400324544 | 254360 | 776400324544 | 4 | 194100081136 | 776400324544 | 4 | 254364 |

Hence $R_{2}=$
$10^{5} \alpha_{6}+10^{4} \alpha_{5}+10^{2} \alpha_{4}+10^{2} \alpha_{5}+10 \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}=254364$ is the cube root of M . It is seen that $U_{6}^{8} 10^{5 \times 2}+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{5} V_{1} T_{1} 10^{[i-2)}=254364^{2}=\mathrm{M}$.

For a given problem, the above stepwise procedure can be followed. However, for a simpler presentation, another procedure may prove handy, as illustrated in the following example

Example 2. Find $\sqrt[4]{16192865729295}$.

$T_{1}=\sum_{r=1}^{4}\binom{4}{r} U_{1}^{4-r} V_{1}^{r-1}=32,144,288,216$. Hence 2006 is the required root.

### 5.2 Case $M$ is not a perfect nth power

Example 3. Find $\sqrt[{\sqrt{175}}]{ }$ correct to two places of decimals.


Hence $\sqrt[5]{175} \cong 2.365 \cong 2.37$

Example 4. Find $\sqrt[3]{35.66 \ldots}$ correct to three places of decimals.


Hence $\sqrt[7]{35.66 \ldots} \cong 3.2917 \cong 3.292$

## 6. CONCLUSION

The analytic method contained in this paper would enable one to carry out digit-by-digit extraction of the $n^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number which can be directly implemented. When one uses Newton's method for the determination of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ root, he shall find out an initial solution and then he has to resort to differentiation. On the other hand, the method presented in this paper uses simple arithmetic operations only and an initial solution is not
necessary. Since it is computationally feasible, it may be built in electronic devices to determine the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ root of a given positive real number without demanding more of memory space.
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