Biomechanical analysis of conventional and locking compression plate (LCP) for treating fibula fracture: A finite element study
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INTRODUCTION

From 2007 to 2011, a hospital from the United States of America (USA) reported that the ankle has the highest number of fractures at lower limb which was 280,933 cases. This shows that malleolar fracture has the highest ranking of occurrence specifically at the ankle joint (Shibuya et al., 2007). In order to treat malleolar fracture, a surgery should be conducted to restore the fracture into the original formation of the structure. One of the methods in restoring the ankle fracture is the open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) method. The ORIF method is known as an open surgery to fix fracture bone that normally happened in a worst-case scenario where the fractures are displaced, not stable, and has a high rate of complications. Then, internal fixation is used to fix the fracture bone until healing process takes place. Until now, there is no problem with the conventional plate, which is one third tubular plate (OTT) if the patient’s bone density is in normal condition. Another design that have been used to restore the ankle fracture is the locking compression plate (LCP). Noted that the use of these two plates constructed in Solidwork with varied number of screws. Further, finite element study was conducted for both models where the bone and plate were defined as homogenous and isotropic material properties; 3) Results: For LCP, the highest VMS observed at the plate for 3 screws was 484 MPa, whereas for 5 screws plate was 667 MPa. Meanwhile, for OTT, the highest VMS at plate was observed for 3 screws was 300.5 MPa, whereas for 5 screw plate was 127.5 MPa. 4) Conclusion: Based on the results, it can be noted that the usage of 3 screw causing a lower VMS at plate compared to 5 screws. However, the relation is only valid for LCP. On the other hand, for OTT, 5-screw constructs giving a low VMS than 3-screw constructs. It can be concluded that the optimum stabilities of OTT and LCP were found at 5 screws and 3 screws, respectively.
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constant which using cortical screw. Further, the process was continued by fixing the bone plate to the fracture area using Mimic software. This implant placement was conducted with a reference from previous studies (Niemeyer et al., 2006; Zehnder et al., 2009). Figure 1 shows the plate placement on the ankle model.

Figure 1 Configuration of the plate and screws for: (a) 3 Screws (LCP) (b) 5 Screws (LCP) (c) 3 Screws (OTT) (d) 5 Screws (OTT).

Screw placement

The screw placement and number of screws used can be varied according to its application. The amount and placement of screw used need to be considered carefully to ensure even distribution of the load. While the addition to the number of screws will have no effect on the stability, reducing the number of screws used can improve the plate leverages, resulting in low load focus on each screw. To test this hypothesis, the present study was conducted by varying the placement and the number of screws. For this study, three and five screws were employed as suggested by Miller et al. (2007) where at least three screws should be used for each fragment. Figure 2 depicts the different orientations of screw for both designs.

Figure 2 Plates of LCP and OTT with their number of screws.

Finite element analysis

For finite element analysis, axial loading has been chosen to be tested on the model due to standing condition. The force was positioned axially to the fibula and tibia. Note that the patient’s body weight was 70 kg, the load was distributed equally between two legs and was further distributed to tibia (93%) and fibula (7%) (Varsalona et al., 2006). As shown in Figure 3, the load to tibia and fibula are represented by yellow and red arrows, respectively. The load distributed between tibia and fibula was connected with link to imitate ligament. Besides, the model was also fixed at the bottom of talus surface to make sure the model was static. All friction coefficient values were set to 0.3 (Chen et al., 2004). The analysis was done using commercial finite element software Marc.Mentat (MSC.Software, Santa Ana, CA) with the equivalent von Mises stress (EVMS) and displacement of the model relative to the distal tibia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stress Distribution

The contour plots of von Mises stress (VMS) of the fibula and different configuration of screw for Locking Compression Plate (LCP) and One Third Tubular Plate (OTT) are shown in Figure 4 and 5, respectively. In general, the contour plots of all the configurations demonstrate that the bone had a high stress concentration at the pin-bone interface for stance phase.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 show maximum values of VMS at the pin-bone interface and the highest VMS at bone plate. Generally, stresses at the bone plate was higher than fibula pin-bone interface. The graphs also include the value of ultimate strength of bone to measure the applicability of the model. For LCP, the highest VMS was observed for 3 screws (183.7 MPa) at the fibula pin-bone interface, whereas for 5 screws was 70 MPa. Meanwhile, for OTT, the highest VMS was observed for 3 screws (141.3 MPa) at the fibula pin-bone interface, whereas for 5 screws was 56 MPa.

Based on Figures 4 and 7, it is apparent that the value of stresses is still below than the ultimate strength of bone (193 MPa), indicating that all constructs of implant with different number of screws are safe to be used for patients without the possible risk of secondary fracture at the fibula bone. If the stresses values at the bone is higher than ultimate strength, therefore the medical surgeons need perform precaution steps to prevent secondary fracture from happening. For LCP, the highest VMS observed at the plate for 3 screws was 484 MPa, whereas for 5-screw plate was 667 MPa. While, for OTT, the highest VMS at plate was observed for 3 screw was 300.5 MPa, whereas for 5-screw plate was 127.5 MPa.

Figure 4 The contour plots of von Mises stress (VMS) of the fibula for different configuration of screw for Locking Compression Plate (LCP) (a) 3 screws (b) 5 screws and One Third Tubular (OTT) Plate (c) 3 screws (d) 5 screws.
Figure 5 The contour plots of von Mises stress (VMS) at the plate and different configuration of screw for Locking Compression Plate (LCP) (a) 3 screw (b) 5 screws and One Third Tubular Plate (c) 3 screws (d) 5 screws.

Displacement

According to Denis et al. (2020), the stability of fracture can be defined by the number of deformation or displacement that occur at the fracture bone site. It should be noticed that a high displacement at the fracture bone can lead to unstable construct of treatment during full weight bearing exercise (Scheele et al., 2020).

Figure 6 shows the displacement plot of the fibula bone. Generally, the displacement value is below 4 mm for both LCP and OTT except for the OTT for 3 screws. When simulating the stance phase, LCP for 3 screws and 5 screws displacement are respectively slightly close at 3.59mm and 3.51mm, while OTT for 3 screws and 5 screws displacement showing a big difference, at 5.65mm and 3.72mm, respectively.

Conventional plating methods are based on the use of an adequate number of anchoring screws to press the plate against the bone with high compressive forces, creating a stable bone-implant connection. The revolutionary new aspect of the LCP is the combination of two completely different anchorage technologies in one implant. The importance of the reduction technique and minimally invasive plate insertion and fixation relates to ensuring that bone viability is undisturbed. Understanding of the biomechanical background of bridging plate osteosynthesis is essential if good clinical results are to be obtained (Niemeyer et al., 2006). This plate is also applied directly to the bone surface, so the force needs to be low to minimize the effect of shielding force. The reason shielding effect need to be minimised is to reduce the bone resorption process that will weaken the bone structure. Variables improving strength of fixation with conventional plates in normal bone has been extensively studied as well.

Factors shown to improve fixation strength include greater spacing of screws (Zehnder et al., 2009; DeCoster et al., 1990). In contrast to conventional plate osteosynthesis, when LCP are used, it is no longer possible to recommend a definite number of screws or cortices to be used in each fragment. While anchorage in the main fragments proximal and distal to the fracture zone remain important, it is much more important that the number of screws inserted is as small as is consistent with the provision of high plate leverage so that screw loading is kept low (Niemeyer et al., 2006). It has been reported that the gap size should be 1 mm and the number of screws of the plate should be varied (Miller et al., 2007; K. Stoffel et al., 2000).

Figure 7 The graphs representing maximum values of VMS at the bone plate using two orientations of screw

In this study, some limitations and assumptions have been included due to the use of computer simulation to simulate the statics analysis instead of experimental works. First and foremost, the bones were assigned with isotropic and homogeneous properties, but the real conditions are anisotropic and inhomogeneous behaviour. However, it was found that the use of isotropic and homogenous properties for bone are more appropriate by considering the time consumed and computer resources (Frehill et al., 2019). Secondly, the use of VMS as a parameter to be discussed, not shear stress. Though the shear stress is good to be included, it is good to highlight in dynamics analysis and simulation. For this study, there was only statics analysis conducted where the use of VMS is more applicable as similar to previous finite element studies by others (Liu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). The next limitation was the choices of displacement where authors were discussing about the global and general displacement instead of displacement in x, y, and z directions (Li et al., 2019). It is hoped that future studies can include all those three displacements to see which axis is more dominant.

Figure 8 The graphs representing maximum values of VMS at the pin-bone interface using two orientation of screw.
CONCLUSION

On the basis of the results obtained, it can be noted that the usage of 3 screws causing a lower VMS at plate compare to 5 screws for LCP construct. In terms of displacement, the value is quite close for both 5 screws and 3 screws for LCP but the difference is clear for OTT model. Therefore, this study was successfully conducted to compare the stability of stress distribution of LCP and OTT for providing related information to medical surgeons of their choices in treating patient.
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